Ref	Requirement	
Itel	HEADER	
	ICAEW Technical Accreditation Scheme "Root Cause Analysis" Software Evaluation	
	Date completed: 29th October 2024  © ICAEW. Technical Accreditation Questionnaire v (A07x01	
	·	
	CONTENTS	
2	Introduction and Prologue Issues identified and evaluation conclusion	
	GLOBAL REQUIREMENTS:	
3	Access and Security	
4	Data processing and reporting	
5	Usability	
6	Hosted and SaaS operation (if applicable)	
0	SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS:	
7	Root Cause Analysis	
/	Noot Cause Allalysis	

Ref		Vendor Comments	
1.	INTRODUCTION AND PROLOGUE		
Introduction	on		
1.01	The suitability of software for each particular user will always		
	be dependent upon that user's individual requirements.		
	These requirements should therefore always be fully		
	considered before software is acquired. The quality of the		
	software developers or suppliers should also be considered		
	at the onset.		
1.02	Fundamentally, good software should:		
	1. Be capable of supporting the functions for which it was		
	designed.		
	2. Provide facilities to ensure the completeness, accuracy,		
	confidentiality and continued integrity of these functions.		
	3. Be effectively supported and maintained.		
	It is also desirable that good software should:		
	5. Be easy to learn, understand and operate.		
	5. Make best practical use of available resources.		
	6. Accommodate limited changes to reflect specific user		
	requirements.		
	It is accounted to how patterns in territory		
	It is essential, when software is implemented, for		
Annuarak	appropriate support and training to be available.		
1.03	to Evaluation		
1.03	The objective is to evaluate a product against a set of criteria developed by the ICAEW to ensure that the software meets		
	the requirements of Good Accounting Software, as laid down		
	in the summary.		
1.04	In order to effectively evaluate the software, a product		
1.01	specialist from the vendor completed the detailed		
	questionnaire and provided it to the ICAEW to examine. The		
	ICAEW's Scheme Technical Manager then reviewed the		
	operation of the various aspects of the software assisted by		
	a member of the vendor's technical staff and checked the		
	answers to confirm their validity. The questions were		
	individually reviewed and commented on and the majority		
	of assessments were confirmed.		
1.05	The Technical Manager discussed the assessment with a		
	member of the vendor's staff in order to clarify any points		
	requiring further information. In the event of disagreement		
	between the supplier and the Technical Manager, the		
	Technical Manager's decision was taken as final and the		
	response changed accordingly.		
1.06	The latest version of the software was used throughout the		
	evaluation.		
1.07	When the evaluation had been completed, a draft copy was		
	sent to the ICAEW Scheme Manager for review before		
D'	completion of the final report.		
	Matters to consider before purchase	The What Coursed This should be 11.10	
1.08	General Overview:	The What Caused This cloud-based platform	
		supports firms in conducting Root Cause Analysis. The analysis and outputs support	
		ISQM1 and other business processes, optimising enhanced decision making.	
1.09	Supplier background:	What Caused This was founded in 2022 by an	
1.09	Juppiner background.	experienced Root Cause Analysis team.	
		Headquartered in England, the team pioneered	
		the development and implementation of	
		modern, data-driven RCA within Audit,	
		Accountancy and Professional Services.	
		and rioressional services.	
1.10	Product background and suitability for the user:	The What Caused This platform was developed	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	to provide a collaborative Root Cause Analysis	
		solution, enabling users to analyse quality	
		problems, organisational risks and improvement	
		opportunities using an evidence-based, data-	
		driven, causal-led framework. System-driven	
		insights allow users to reveal trends, address	
		emergent risks and maximise performance data.	

Ref		Vendor Comments	
1.11	Add-on modules:	Built with a modern API, there is no requirement for bolt-on modules. Any data that needs to be pushed or pulled from other business systems is completed via the API. The most common integrations include PowerBI & SAP.	
1.12	Typical implementation [size]:	What Caused This is available to all firms with increased suitability for mid-tier and large firms.	
1.13	Vertical applications:	Optional - What Caused This handles the end-to-end creation of effective Root Cause Analysis reporting. The modern API enables rapid and powerful bespoke integrations with all leading organisational software, resulting in increased efficiencies, improved data management and firm-wide insights.	
1.14	Server flatform and database:	What Caused This uses Microsoft Azure Cloud Services. The client is not required to provide additional servers or database facilities. It is all included in the software-as-a-service.	
1.15	Client specification required:	What Caused This provides a unique and secure client account on a unique, client-specified URL. Personalisation features include ability to upload brand/logo, build permission levels and edit labels/data-fields.	
1.16	Partner network:	Firms will be allocated a UK-based Account Manager. What Caused This also employs sector specialists to support the clients and the professional associations.	

Ref		
2.	ISSUES AND CONCLUSION	
ghlight	ed issues	
2.01	There are a number of limitations in the product, which	
	while not adversely impacting upon this evaluation may be	
	of importance to some organisations. It is important that	
	any business contemplating the purchase of software	
	reviews the functionality described and limitations therein	
	against its detailed requirements. Attention is drawn in	
	particular to the following areas where the product, on its	
	own, may not be suitable for businesses with certain	
	•	
2.02	requirements:	
2.02	Findings for considerations by potential customers:	
	(See vendor comments against the various Questions)	2 17
	* Whilst it is possible to delete a Root Cause Analysis Event	3.17
	and associated Causes, Outcomes, etc, this is part of the	
	general functionality of the system. It is not a transactional	
	system in the same way as a finance system where	
	transactional integrity must be retained.	
	* There is no internal report generator as such as the	4.30-
	"Outcomes Report" is held within the platform; and can be	4.35, 6.
	accessed via the API.	6.92, 7.
	Reporting is not part of the functionality of the platform.	7.79
	* There is no ability to store saved searches or menu-option	4.36,
	favourites on a per-user basis within the platform.	5.14, 5.
	* The system does not support User Defined Fields	5.10
	* There is no universal search facility; but search capabilities	5.15
	are provided in multiple modules of the system.	
	* There is no physical or PDF user manual or associated web	5.19-5.2
	KB and videos, but a series of videos are currently being	
	developed. That said, the system is very intuitive.	
	* ESCROW is not offered; which is not unusual for this type of	5.23
	software as a service platform.	
	* It is not possible for a customer to take their own backups,	6.51
	but WhatCausedThis can undertake these on a customer's	
	behalf on request.	
	* Users are not able to test new versions before they go live.	6.62
	Note that this is not uncommon for SaaS platforms.	0.02
	Development Partners are in place for new feature requests.	
	bevelopment rathers are in place for new readare requests.	
	on conclusion	
2.03	For the specific use-cases in support of assisting accountancy	
	firms to make effective use of Root Cause Analysis	
	techniques, for which the product is designed, it is a solid	
	and capable solution. It continues to be actively developed	
	and enhanced.	
	Members should be aware of the limitation of the solution as	
	above, and fully understand the role that it can play in	
	helping manage their compliance needs.	
	* NOTE THAT THE QUESTIONNAIRE RELATES TO THE	
	SOFTWARE PRODUCT AND NOT ANY SUPPLEMENTARY	
	SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE SUPPLIER TO THE	
	ACCOUNTANCY FIRM USING THAT PRODUCT *	
isclaime	ers	
2.04	Any organisation considering the purchase of this software	
	should consider their requirements in the light of proposals	
	from the software supplier or its dealers and potential	
	suppliers of other similarly specified products. Whilst the	
	contents of this document are presented in good faith,	
	neither ICAEW, nor the ICAEW's Technical Manager (RSM UK	
	Consulting LLP or any party nominated by the ICAEW to	
	manfanna this nale on the ICATANE belong 10 and 10 and	
	perform this role on the ICAEW's behalf) will accept liability	
	for actions taken as a result of comments made herein. The	

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
3.	ACCESS AND SECURITY	Vendor Response	neviewer comments
<u> </u>			
Access con	itrol		
3.01	What security features are included to control access to the	Username and Password, SSO and MFA.	Noted
1	application?	,	
3.02	Can access to functions be managed via a permissions matrix	Yes, we have a pre-set User/Role/Permissions	Confirmed
	so users can only see (in menus and other links) and access	module within the system	
İ	those areas they are authorised to access?	module maini the system	
3.03	Is this access to the application managed by:-	Preset individual user roles set by Super Admin	Confirmed
3.03	- Individual user profiles?	and Admin roles within the platform.	Committee
İ	- User groups or job roles?	and ranim roles within the platform.	
3.04	Can a report be produced detailing all current users, their	There is no report but instead a dedicated	Noted
3.04	user groups if relevant, and their authority levels and/or	feature, all visible within the system to Admin	Noted
İ	access rights?	users.	
3.05	If menus can be tailored does the system limit the display of	This can't be tailored, it is preset by role within	Noted
3.03			Noteu
İ	menu options to those for which permission has been	the platform	
2.00	granted for each user?	V	N-4-d
3.06	Does security allow for access to be limited to:	Yes	Noted
İ	- Read only?		
1	- Read/write?		
	- Read/amend/delete?	N/0	
3.07	If data can be accessed by separate reporting facilities, such	N/A	NA. Access could only
İ	as ODBC or an external report writer, is the user access		be via the API
	security control applied?		
3.08	Does the system security integrate with Microsoft's Active	Yes	Noted
	Directory or other tools that provide a single sign-on?		
3.09	Does the system provide multi-factor authentication (MFA)?	Yes	Confirmed
	and access logs		
3.10	Is access to the software controlled by password?	Yes	Confirmed
3.11	Does each user have a separate log on (user id)?	Yes	Confirmed
3.12	If there is no password facility please state how	N/A	-
İ	confidentiality and accessibility control is maintained within		
	the software?		
3.13	Are passwords masked for any user logging in?	Yes	Confirmed
3.14	Is password complexity available and enforced?	Yes	Noted
3.15	Are passwords encrypted?	Yes	Noted
3.16	Are users automatically logged off after a pre-set idle time? -	Yes.	Noted
İ	Can the time period be changed?		
İ	- Can any information be viewed without being logged in,	No this can't be changed, and no information	
	including after logging off, if so what information?	can be viewed without being authenticated	
Deletion o	f transactions		
3.17	Is it possible to delete a transaction?	It is possible to delete an RCA, this is a soft	Yes. But this is not a
<u>.                                    </u>		delete	transactional system.
3.18	If so, then how are deletions controlled by the system?	Soft delete, retained in the database, accessible	Noted
		as an export or via API.	
3.19	Are deleted transactions retained in the audit trail (see	Yes	Noted
	below) and denoted as such?		
Audit trails			
3.20		Yes	Confirmed. The
	Does the system have an audit trail (log) which records all		"Activity Feed" option
	changes to transactions in the system?		shows this.
3.21	Does this log also record any system error messages and/or	Yes	Noted
	any security violations?		
3.22	Is it possible to turn off or delete the audit trail?	No	Noted. Extra info can be
			included here by
			WhatCausedThis on
			request.
2 72	Does the software allocate a system generated sequential	Ves	request.
3.23	Does the software allocate a system generated sequential	Yes	request. Noted
3.23	unique reference number to each transaction in the audit	Yes	
3.23		Yes N/A	

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
3.25	Does the system operate in a way that is compliant with data protection legislation including GDPR? How does the system facilitate this?	Yes, the platform has been signed off and tested against GDPR and ISO27001 standards	Noted
	facilitate this?	We've designed the platform to comply with data protection standards. We ensure data minimisation, obtain informed user consent, and implement strong security measures (e.g., encryption, role-based access controls). The system supports GDPR rights like access, rectification, erasure, and data portability. We have robust breach detection and response protocols, manage sub processors with strict Data Processing Agreements (DPAs), and use safeguards for international data transfers. Data retention policies ensure personal data is stored	
3.26	Describe your use of sub-processors if any?	only as needed and securely deleted thereafter.  Microsoft Azure – We use Microsoft Azure for infrastructure hosting, platform provisioning, and operational management of our SaaS platform. Azure is used to store, process, and manage personal data as part of the service	Noted
_		delivery.	
•	d recovery		
3.27	Is there a clear indication in the software or manuals as to how the data is backed-up and recovered?	This is specified in internal policies as a part of our backup policy. We don't divulge the details to end users as this is secure to us. What we do provide is guarantees and SLA around out backup strategy.	Noted
3.28	How often are backups taken and to what point can restores be done?	Our platform is Geo replicated to a European data centre as a part of Microsoft Backup.	Noted
3.29	How does the software facilitate recovery procedures in the event of software failure? (E.g. roll back to the last completed transaction).	We can roll back to any point in time using transaction logging or Azure backup.  We can roll back per individual customer/install where required.	Noted
3.30	If software failure occurs part way through a batch or transaction, will the operator have to re-input the batch or only the transaction being input at the time of the failure?	Yes they would need to re-input but data loss in our platform is not as critical as accounting based software	Noted. This is not a transactional finance system.
3.31	What features are available within the software to help track down processing problems?	Full Azure Application Insights. See Section 6. SaaS Hosted for more details	Noted

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
4.	DATA PROCESSING AND REPORTING	vendor nesponse	Neviewer Comments
	DATA THO CESSITE AND REPORTING		
Input and	validation of transactions		
4.01	Is data input controlled by self-explanatory menu options?	Yes	Noted
4.02	Are these menus user/role-specific?	Yes	Noted
4.03	Can the creation or amendment of standing data (e.g.	N/A	-
	customer account details) be undertaken using menu		
	options or dialogue boxes as opposed to requiring system		
	configuration?		
4.04	Does the software provide input validation checks such as:	Yes	Noted
	- [account] code validation?		
	- reasonableness limits?	Yes in the context of our application which isn't	
	- validity checks?	accountancy based. We don't necessarily need	
		reasonable limit validation or validity checks,	
		other than the rules implemented for RCA's such	
		as Health Score which isn't validation but a guide	
		to what is expected. 100% of our validation is	
		required fields and datatype checks, and not limits. We are unable to determine a reasonable	
		limit in the nature of our application. For	
		example, we have "Frequency of event",	
		someone could enter 10,000,000,000 a week if it	
		is a CPU failure on an oil rig drill. As a result our	
		database architecture is quite extreme in it's	
		data type limits.	
		add type iiiiitei	
4.05	What control features are within the software to ensure	This is managed by system and end point	Noted
	completeness and accuracy of data input?	validation rules implemented in the code.	
4.06	How does the software ensure uniqueness of the input	N/A for our platform type. Duplicate records are	Noted
	transactions? (i.e. to avoid duplicate transactions)	fine	
4.07	Is data input by users validated by scripts or routines in the	Yes Front end validation calls an API end point	Noted
	browser, or other client software, before transmission to the	with server side validation	
	server?		
4.08	Is data input by users validated by routines running on the	N/A	-
	server before data files are updated?		
4.09	Does the above validation ensure that data entered in all	Yes where applicable.	Noted
	input boxes:		
	- Cannot be longer than a maximum length?		
	- Cannot contain unaccepted characters such as semi-colons etc?		
4.10	Are responses to erroneous data input clear so that they do	Yes	Noted
20	not lead to inappropriate actions?		
4.11	Does the software have an automatic facility to	Yes	Noted
	correct/reverse/delete transactions?		
4.12	If yes, are these logged in the audit trail?	Yes	Noted
4.13	Are all data entries or file insertions and updates controlled	Yes	Noted
	to ensure that should part of a data entry fail the whole		
	transaction fails?		
4.14	Are messages provided to users clearly explaining whether	Yes	Noted.
	the data entry or file upload has been processed successfully		A green confirmation
	or not?		message-bar shows in
les : ·			many cases.
4.15	d export of data  Can files/attachments be uploaded and stored against any	Yes	Noted
4.13	transaction?	163	NOTEU
4.16	Is there an additional charge made for storage of uploaded	No	Noted
0	files?		
	- If yes, please indicate the cost.		
4.17	Can data be imported into the system from multiple types of	Not files but via our integration API.	Noted
	files, e.g. XLS, text, CSV?	_	
		https://demo.api.whatcausedthis.com/swagger/	
		index.html	
4.18	Explain how the system validates imports into the system	As per the front end, all our API end points have	Noted. There are no
	and what happens to any import which fails?	server side validation to check a valid payload	imports as such; there is
		via the Api call. No files processing is done.	a data pipe created via
			the API if required.
4.19	Are imported /interfaced transactions detailed in the audit	Yes - API end point	Noted
_	trail? [See also 3.27]		
4.20	Can data be exported from all areas of the system to	Only restricted areas as CSV, PDF and PNG.	Noted
	multiple formats e.g. XLS, CSV, PDF, text; if so specify which		
	formats are supported?		<u> </u>

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
Data proce	essing		
4.21	Does the software ensure that menu options or programs	N/A for our platform	Not a workflow-based
	are executed in the correct sequence (e.g. outstanding		system.
	transactions are processed before month end is run)?		
4.22	Does the software provide automatic recalculation, where	N/A for our platform	-
	appropriate, of data input? (e.g. VAT)	·	
4.23	Is a month/period-end routine required to be undertaken?	N/A for our platform	-
		·	
4.24	Is it possible to delete accounts if the balance is Nil but	N/A for our platform	-
	transactions have been recorded against the code?	·	
4.25	What is the size and format of reference numbers and	N/A for our platform	-
	descriptions within:-		
	- Ledgers?		
	- Stock?		
	- Currencies?		
4.26	How does the software guard against/warn about duplicate	N/A for our platform	-
	account numbers on set up?	·	
4.27	How does the software enable the traceability [from, to and	N/A for our platform	-
	through the accounting records] of any source document or	·	
	interfaced transaction?		
4.28	What drill down/around functionality is available within the	N/A for our platform	-
	software?	·	
4.29	If the software uses a lot of standing information which	N/A for our platform	-
	changes frequently or regularly, does the software allow for	·	
	such changes to be effected through the use of parameters		
	or tables?		
Report wr	iter		
4.30	Does the system have an in-built report generator or is a	N/A for our platform	-
	third-party solution used (if so please specify)?	·	
4.31	Is the report writer based on a standard SQL-type approach	N/A for our platform	-
	and is it flexible and easy to use?	·	
4.32	Can the report generator operate over the financial and	N/A for our platform	-
	operational aspects of the system, e.g. combining service	·	
	metrics with financial information?		
4.33	Is a comprehensive data dictionary provided to aid field	N/A for our platform	-
	selection?	·	
4.34	Does the system provide a library of reports and templates	N/A for our platform	-
	which can be amended, saved and re-run?	. '	
4.35	Can users create their own reports?	N/A for our platform	-
	If so, what are the controls on users doing this?	. '	
4.36	Can users create saved searches /filters / queries?	No	Noted
4.37	Can regular reports be added to user menus in the	No	Noted
	appropriate area of the system?		
4.38	Does the system support the production of on demand	N/A for our platform	-
	(interactive) and scheduled batch reports?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
5.	USABILITY	vendor Response	neviewer comments
-			
Ease of use	2		
5.01	Does the solution provide a multi-language user interface?	No English language only	Noted
5.02	Does the system allow for customizable branding and UI (e.g. corporate colour palate, upload company logo, etc)?	Yes, the Super Admin can upload their company logo in the Admin Area to display on Outcome Reports	Confirmed
5.03	Does the system have a similar look and feel and overall and consistency between screens and modules?	Yes, our UI and UX leads have a coordinated focus on this	Noted
5.04	Is data entry easily repeated if similar to previous entry?	Yes via cut and paste and standard controls. We don't really have repeated entries, it's kind of discouraged actually.	Noted
5.05	Does the software prevent access to a record while it is being updated?		Noted
5.06	Is there locking at file or record level?	Access to RCA records is controlled by your profile and then below that it is permission based, set by the RCA owner	Noted
5.07	Does the software allow for the running of reports whilst records are being updated?	Outcome reports can be generated from an RCA record at any stage. Multiple Outcome reports can be generated per RRCA depending on the audience	Noted
5.08	Can timestamps or user comments be added to transactions?	There is a Notes function within each and every Cause box	Noted
5.09	Is there the ability to store preferences and default values on a per-user basis. e.g. department/team/user?	These are derived from the User profile and the user set up process. Association to Groups is managed by system Admins	Noted
5.10	Does the system have the ability to provide user-defined fields with associated validation of data input?	Fields that are set as required have validation	Noted. UDFs are not supported.
5.11	Can the system provide users with reminders and notifications e.g. workflows?	It is a dynamic live piece of visual analysis software, those set functions are not required	Noted
5.12	If the system provides workflows, does it have functionality	RCA has a certain number of steps to ensure a	Noted
3.12	to substitute/delegate authorisations?	complete and evidence-based outcome, but the steps are not rigid in the platform.	THOUSE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART
5.13	Is there the ability for users to define and configure layouts of letters and forms?	No	Noted
5.14	Can users save the parameters of searches?	No	Noted
5.15	Does the system have a "universal search" option, allowing a search to be undertaken over all modules of the system?	Search is available on multiple areas of the platform. Both free text and filter fields	Noted
5.16	Can the system store menu option 'favourites' on a per user basis?	No	Noted
5.17	Can a user open multiple windows accessing the same or different modules of the system?	It is a live web-based SaaS platform. It is always current. Only one user can have edit access per record though to prevent issues with versions	Noted
5.18	Can more than one software function be performed concurrently?	Yes if we are talking about the platform. No if we are talking about the individual RCA records	Noted
User docui	mentation and training		
5.19	Is the manual provided as: - hard copy - on CD - by download - via a web-interface?	In-platform guidance is provided	Noted
5.20	- Via a web-interrace?  Does the manual include:  - An index or search facility?  - A guide to basic functions of the software?  - Pictures of screens and layouts?  - Examples?  - A tutorial section?  - Details of any error messages and their meanings?	No physical or PDF manual	Noted. A series of "Scribe" videos are currently being developed.
5.21	Is context-sensitive help available within the system?	Help icons are in all relevant and required areas with concise guiding content	Noted
5.22	Is the manual and/or help editable by the user (subject to the permissions matrix)?	No	Noted
5.23	Will the Software House make the detailed program documentation (e.g. file definitions for third party links) available to the user, either directly or by deposit with a third party (ESCROW)?	No	Noted. This is not unusual for SAAS based systems.

Ref	Downiyamant	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
5.24	Requirement Please detail the training options available?	Face-to-face on methodology and virtually via	Noted. Training focuses
3.24	ricase detail the training options available:	Teams for platform specifics.	on RCA principles as
		realits for platform specifics.	platform is intuitive.
			piationin is intuitive.
5.25	Who provides training:	Provided in-house by What Caused This Team	Noted
3.23	- Software House?	Members	Noted
	- VAR?	Wembers	
Support a	nd maintenance		
5.26	How is the software sold:	Software as a Service - recurring annual licence	Noted; access
3.20	- Direct from the software house?	Software as a Service - recurring annual needice	subscription provided
	- Via a Value Added Reseller (VAR) or Integrator?		direct by
	via a value Added Reseller (VAR) of Integrator:		WhatCausedThis
5.27	How is the product supported:	Direct support provided	Noted
0.27	- Direct from the software house?	S. Cot support provided	
	- Via a Value Added Reseller (VAR) or Integrator?		
5.28	Do VARs have to go through an accreditation process?	N/A	-
5.29	Is the software sold based upon number of named users or a	Named users - known as Analysts.	Noted
3.23	number of concurrent users?	Namea asers known as Analysis.	Troted
5.30	The supplier should detail the support cover options	We provide an end user support facility which is	Noted
3.30	available, covering:	office based 09:00-17:00 Monday - Friday in line	Noted
	- The hours provided?	with normal use of the platform. We also have a	
	- Associated costs?	technical support desk which operates at the	
	- The global regions covered?	same times. This SLA and support service is	
	The Biodul regions covered:	sufficient for the type of platform we provide.	
		same entrol the type of platform we provide.	
5.31	Detail the process by which customers raise support requests	Customers raise a support query to	Noted
J.J1	and how these can be viewed/managed?	support@whatcausedthis.com and receive a	
	and now these can be viewed/managed:	Zend Desk reference, communication is via	
		email.	
5.32	Please note the methods of support available:	Email and telephone	Noted
3.32	- Telephone?	Email and telephone	Noted
	- Internet chat?		
	- Remote access to customer workstation?		
	- Other, please specify?		
5.33	Do you offer service credits for failure to meet performance	Yes	Noted
3.33	around SLA and uptime (if applicable)	res	Noted
5.34	What is your escalation path for tickets which have not been	Escalation to dedicated account manager	Noted
3.34	resolved within a reasonable time?	Escalation to dedicated account manager	Noted
5.35	How often are general software enhancements provided?	Quarterly releases for new features, patches and	Noted
3.33	now often are general software enhancements provided:	hotfixes are released monthly unless of higher	Noted
		severity	
5.36	Will they be given free of charge?	Yes	Noted
5.37	How are enhancements and bug fixes provided to	We provide a release note by email to all our	Noted
3.37	customers?	active users	Noted
5.38	Is "hot line" support to assist with immediate problem	Yes via the dedicated account manager	Noted
3.36	solving available?	res via tile dedicated account manager	Noted
5.39	If so, is there an additional cost involved?	No	Noted
5.40		09:00-17:00 Monday - Friday excl Bank Holidays	Noted
5.40	At what times will this support be available?	05.50-17.00 Monday - Friday exci balik Holldays	NOTEU
Integration	n and www facilities		
5.41	Can the software be linked to other packages e.g. word	Yes via our integrated API.	Noted
5.41	,	res via our integrateu Art.	NOLEU
	processing, graphics, financial modelling, to provide	Wo can't provide an example as ust as they	
	alternative display and reporting facilities?	We can't provide an example as yet as they are	
		client specific and our Outcomes Report is	
		sufficient at this point int time. Our API here	
		demonstrates simple use of PowerBi integration:	
		hadron //down a cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the co	
		https://demo.api.whatcausedthis.com/swagger/	
		index.html is the API.	
F 40	Con defineble links to some del 1 1 1 2	Voc	Maked Deell M/cl :
5.42	Can definable links to spreadsheets be created?	Yes	Noted. Really N/A but
			for example a link could
			be put in an evidence
		N All I	box.
5.43	Does the system provide a secure document storage	Yes. All documents such as evidence files are	Noted
	capability:	encrypted at rest on Azure Blob storage after a	
	If so, please give examples of the document types saved and	Clam AV scan	
5.44		Clam AV scan	Noted

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
5.45	Does the system provide data migration tools for	N/A	-
	transactional and master data sets (e.g. employees		
	customers, suppliers, journals, invoices).		
5.46	What connection mechanisms does the software have and	Full CRUD with Open API across 600 functional	Noted.
	what breadth of functionality in terms of:	tasks within the platform.	(Create, read, update,
	- operations (add, update, delete)? and		delete)
	- what transactions/data it can access?		
	E.g. if webservices APIs available, then can customers		
	connect to whatever software they wish?		
5.47	Does the system support mobile working?	Mobile working isn't necessary on our platform.	Noted
		However we do provide restricted access to	
		mobile devices. For example, Visual	
		Charting/RCA functionality is suited only for	
		desktop but users can complete tasks or answer	
		queries via mobile.	

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
6.	SAAS/HOSTED OPERATION		
	This evaluation covers the system but not the method by		
	which it is delivered and/or contracted for. Potential users		
	need to satisfy themselves on the security and disaster		
	recovery aspects and licensing of the online system and any		
	data protection issues of their own and customer/supplier		
	information, contained therein, being held on the system, as well as the return of the data when the contract expires		
	or is terminated.		
Data centr	es and customer data		
6.01	Whose data centres are used and where are these located:	Our SaaS and business operations operate on	Noted
	- If hosted where data centre controlled by a third-party?	Microsoft Azure, UK West. We have incremental	
	- If SaaS where the software vendor will be in control?	and full encrypted Geo-location backup	
		provisions in place in Azure (Europe), in line with	
		our business continuity, ISO27001 obligations.	
6.02	Does the customer get a choice of the jurisdiction in which	Yes, however this is restricted to a finite list	Noted
	their data resides?	which we control. UK West, Central Europe,	
		America (to be established) for data governance	
		purposes.	
		It is to be noted that we only have UK customers	
		at present.	
6.03	What certification(s) do you or your platform operators hold	We hold Cyber Essentials and ISO27001	Noted.
0.03	relating to your data centres and your business operations?	certifications as a business. Staff have a range of	
	,	_	web-page footer.
		the Azure AD and infrastructure. We use third	1 0
		parties for legal and advisory services.	
6.04	Do you or your platform operator have an SSAE16 (System	While we do not have an SSAE16 report, we are	Noted
	and Organization Controls) report available?	ISO/IEC 27001 certified, therefore all our	
		organisational controls, including data	
		confidentiality, integrity, and availability. Our	
		certification demonstrates a commitment to	
		robust security practices, risk management, and	
		continuous improvement. Our operational resilience and technical controls are well	
		documented and assessed bi-annually or in the	
		event of significant change.	
6.05	What are the physical controls over the:-	We operate in a managed office space of 200	Noted
	- Premises?	offices within the site. The building has	
	- Fileservers?	monitored surveillance (CCTV) and a manned	
	- Communications equipment?	reception area with digital visitor management.	
		All office spaces are individually security card	
		accessed.	
		All server/IT spaces have physical and remote	
		access logs and have redundant power supplies	
		in the event of disaster. All communications	
		equipment such as Firewalls, routers and cabling	
		are all housed in the server rooms.	
		In respect to data, our SaaS platform and entire	
		operations is cloud based in Azure. We adopt	
		the Microsoft Security Centre standards with	
		MFA/JIT/PIM and software firewall series and AV	
		present (Qualys).	
6.06	Is the space in this/these data centre(s) shared with any	Yes, Azure is the main tenant shared by all	Noted
	other companies?	companies. However every "Dedicated licence"	
		company has it's own dedicated Resource Group	
		and database.	
		In the future, companies will have the ability to	
		sign up to the SaaS install where their data will	
1	t .	be housed amongst others (same database) and	i l
		logically separated.	

D-6	D	Vandan Daniana	Davidson Comments
Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
6.07	Is data for different customers/companies kept:-	Our customers have the option to register a	Noted
	- On separate servers?	SaaS version (customer data is housed in the	
	- In different databases?	same database, logically separated by the	
	- In separate database tables?	software and secured access same resource	
	- In a database with data for other customers and companies using logical security to partition customers' data?	group) OR	
		A <b>Dedicated</b> instance of their platform	
		(dedicated database to their data only and dedicated resource group).	
		At present all our customers have opted for the <b>Dedicated</b> version.	
		There is a cost difference yes but not set and variable.	
6.08	How is it ensured that data for different customers and	By design we operate a Multi-Tenancy	Noted
	companies is reliably identifiable and only accessed by	Architecture, where customer data is logically	
	authorised users for each customer/company?	separated at both the application layer and	
		database layer. All users are authenticated with	
		MFA and a Bearer token controls the session for	
		which they access the context. All data is	
		encrypted at rest.	
6.09	What controls are in place to prevent users from one	Our SaaS platform ensures strict data	Noted
2.20	customer/company accessing data from another	segregation for multiple companies within a	
	customer/company by accident or by design?	single database using logical separation by	
	customer/company by accident of by acsign:	unique identifiers, ensuring that each company's	
		data is isolated.	
		Role-Based Access Control (RBAC) enforces	
		permissions, allowing users to access only their	
		organization's data.	
		We implement Multi-Factor Authentication	
		(MFA) for all users to enhance security and	
		prevent unauthorized access. Additionally,	
		customer data is encrypted both at rest and in	
		transit. Regular audits, access logging, and real-	
		time monitoring detect and prevent any cross- tenant access.	
6.10	How is [Internet] communication traffic monitored to	From a performance perspective we adopt the	Noted
	identify potential problems before they happen:	native capabilities of Microsoft Azure's	
	- From a performance perspective?	Application Monitor, Alerts and Application	
	- From a security standpoint?	Insights. This provides us resource level analysis	
		on performance. We also apply benchmarking	
		to the platform which is particularly useful to	
		assess performance during dev/test/pre-prod	
		testing for any new features or changes.	
		Annua Frank D	
		Azure Front Door and "Azure Traffic Manager"	
		are also useful tools for scale and load	
		balancing. The cloud native design by design	
		helps us achieve the 99.9% uptime SLA to our	
		customers.	
		From a security perspective. We adopt the	
		native capabilities of Azure Security Centre	
		which continuously monitors network traffic for	
		-	
		potential security threats, such as unusual	
		patterns, anomalies, or potential DDoS attacks.	
		All and threat intelligence are in place to detect	
		vulnerabilities and alert our support team of any	
		risks. It is underpinned by Qualys and Clam AV	
		for any file uploads and authenticated user interaction.	
		interaction.	
6.11		This is N/A really. Azure automatically handles	Noted. Handled by
	Connection (at the server, client or in between) from causing		Azure.
	data corruption?	as transactional integrity, retries, and automatic	
		failover mechanisms. The Application and	
		resources are tightly coupled as one.	

C 15	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
6.12	Are communications between the user's computer and the	Yes all HTTPS/TLS 1.2 (1.3 where supported /	Noted
	software service encrypted: - User log in data only?	requested with dedicated instances).	
	- All data exchanged between user client and software		
	service?		
6.13	Is data on your servers encrypted at rest?	Yes AES-256 encryption by default and	Noted
		encryption keys are managed via Azure Key	
		Vault	
6.14	Is a test environment provided to test configuration	Yes, Dev/Test and pre-prod (development	Noted.
	changes?	partners/customers only). We use CI/CD in	(Continuous integration
	If so, is there an additional charge for this?	Azure which comes with full deployment,	and continuous
		backup and rollback strategies out of the box.	delivery/deployment)
		We offer a sea the surround and the sea of	
		We offer a sandbox resource group for any of our integration partners (Api) for testing with no	
		additional charge.	
rcess to	Lustomer data	additional charge.	
6.15	What are the implications of the Data Protection Act over	We are the data processor in this instance. Our	Noted
	information held by the hosting service provider, and how	obligations are set out in our SaaS agreements	
	does the vendor mitigate these?	with customers.	
		We mitigate DPA issues by implementing	
		encryption (both in transit and at rest), using	
		multi-factor authentication (MFA), and applying	
		strict access control policies. We also have clear	
		DPA rules/terms/agreements agreed with our	
		end users with helpful guides on data	
		categorisation and sharing.	
		Regular audits and security assessments ensure	
		ongoing compliance. We also maintain robust	
		incident response protocols to promptly address	
		any data breaches or security incidents.	
		,	
6.16	Are you subject to any legal or regulatory requirements	No	Noted
	obliging you to retain a copy of customer data?		
6.17	Who will be able to access or see customer data?	Only authorised persons can see customer data.	Noted
		This includes our own IT staff unless we receive	
		explicit permission to do so by the Customer.	
		In the event of a support ticket being raised, we	
		ask for explicit confirmation that we can access	
		the data (where required). It would be quite	
		rare for us to need to access the context of an	
		RCA/data to determine an issue.	
6.18	Explain the procedures to prevent unauthorised access from	We utilise Just-In-Time (JIT) access and	Noted
	staff, or contractors, working for the service provider or any	Privileged Identity Management (PIM)	
	other people with access to the service provider's internal	procedures in Azure to control access to IT	
	systems.	system(s).	
		IIT Access: Access to consitive systems is greated	
		JIT Access: Access to sensitive systems is granted only when necessary, for a limited time, and is	
		automatically revoked after the task is	
		completed. The Head of Technology and CEO	
		can grant access currently.	
		3	
		PIM: This ensures that elevated privileges are	
		only granted to authorized personnel when	
		required, with approval workflows and	
		required, with approval workhows and	
		monitoring.	
		monitoring.  We also enforce multi-factor authentication	
		monitoring.  We also enforce multi-factor authentication (MFA), role-based access control (RBAC), and	
		monitoring.  We also enforce multi-factor authentication	

Recipiement 6.19 Spalin the release management procedures in place and the associated segregation of duties?  6.10 Explain the release management procedures follows a structured DeviseCpps approach in Azure, ensuring confinuous integration, lesting, and delivery of Secture Software at each minor or major release.  6.20 Explain the segregation of duties in place and the segregation of our release pipelines has quality gates and approvably for progression through environments where release packages are peer necessed and finally signed of for release by the fleet of Technology, Antomated backup and oribitate procedures are in place 486.  6.20 Its there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the	Pof	Requirement	Vandar Rosponsa	Reviewer Comments
structured DevSecOps approach in Azure, ensuring continuous integration, testing, and delivery of socure software at each minor or major release.  Each of our release pipelines has quality gates and approvals for progression through environments where release packages are peer reviewed and finally signed off for release by the Head of Technology. Automated backup and rollback procedures are in place also.  We have 80% automated test coverage across code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for conflict end pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code and an automated testing and automated to end finally signed of for release to each testing, and deployment roles are dearly separated to rever with a full audit trail. Place for the end of the end of the second point. Place for the end of the second point. Place for the end of the second point. Place for the end of the second point. Place for the end of the second point. Place f		·		
ensuring continuous integration, testing, and delevery of secure software at each minor or major release.  Each of our release pipelines has quality gates and approvals for progression through environments where release packages are peer reviewed and finally signed off for release by the Head of Technology. Automated backup and rollback procedures are in place also.  We have 80% automated test coverage across code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use Sonardube for code scanning.  6.20 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  6.21 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system when the technical team. Developers write code, security teams conduct reviews, and operations/product manage deployment.  PM ensures that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full usualit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a time-bound access to live systems with continuous production and developers and the second security teams as a Saas is not business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SIA Le. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and aduted access to live systems as a Saas is not business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SIA Le. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for use of part temporary and aduted access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same C/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expecified but still follows for main procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated note based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and if I token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures when necessary. The lottirs branch is n	6.19			ινότεα
delivery of secure software at each minor or major release.  Each of our release pipelines has quality gates and approvals for progression through environments where release packages are per reviewed and finally signed off for release by the Head of Technology. Automated backup and rollback procedures are in place also.  Whe have 80% automated tect coverage across code and an automated penters for each deployed end point. We use SonanQube for code scanning.  Wes, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  It is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data flies?  Wes, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Ves, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Ves, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Ves, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Ves, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Ves, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Ves, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Ves, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Ves, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Ves, segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Ves, this sable of the service search and particular the segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  Noted heaves a fall subsequent release and clearly segregation of Duties (SaD): Development, and data flies?  P. flies follows a "Into tire release protocol which allows for a timebound access to production sonly granted when necessary and is temporary and audited access to live application or an audit trail.  P. flies follows a "Into tire release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live application or son data flies.  P. flies follows a "Into tire release protocol which allows for a stable segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segr		associated segregation of duties ?		
### ### #### #########################			ensuring continuous integration, testing, and	
Fach of our release pipelines has quality gates and approvals for progression through environments where release packages are pere reviewed and finally signed off for release by the Head of Technology. Automated backup and rollback procedures are in place also.  We have 80% automated test coverage across code and an automated pen test for each deployed end point. We use SonanQube for code scanning.  Yes, segregation of Duties (SoD): Development, each deployed end point. We use SonanQube for code scanning.  Yes, segregation of Duties (SoD): Development, setting, and deployment roles are clearly separated to prevent conflicts of interest within the technical team. Developers write code, security teams conduct reviews, and operations/product manage deployment.  Place results to the setting and deployment roles are clearly separated to prevent conflicts of interest within the technical team. Developers write code, security teams conduct reviews, and operations/product manage deployment.  Place results to the setting team of the segregation of put the setting and deployment roles are clearly separated to prevent and acress to production is only granted when encessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  Place fiscillow a "test fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound acress to be experienced with a procedure in place for operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  Place fiscillow a "test fix" released access to production is only granted whom emissions of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation of the segregation			delivery of secure software at each minor or	
and approvals for progression through environments where release packages are peer reviewed and finally signed off for release by the Head of Technology. Automated backup and rollback procedures are in place also.  We have 80% automated test coverage across code and an automated pen-test for each operation of point. We use SonarGube for code scanning.  8.20 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  8.21 Is the sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  8.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes received the secretary procedures sometime to the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the			major release.	
and approvals for progression through environments where release packages are peer reviewed and finally signed off for release by the Head of Technology. Automated backup and rollback procedures are in place also.  We have 80% automated test coverage across code and an automated pen-test for each developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  Segregation of Duties (SoD): Development, testing, and deployment rolls are clearly separated to prevent conflicts of interest within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team. Developers within teterhical team.  P1 fixes follow and P1 fixer P1 fixer procedure in place for the remarks of the procedure in place within the common of the procedure in place within order for us to achieve minimal disruption, an maintain S1A. Le P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited accountability.  Helevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIIM a				
and approvals for progression through environments where release packages are peer reviewed and finally signed off for release by the Head of Technology. Automated backup and rollback procedures are in place also.  We have 80% automated test coverage across code and an automated pen-test for each operation of point. We use SonarGube for code scanning.  8.20 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  8.21 Is the sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  8.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes received the secretary procedures sometime to the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the			Each of our release pipelines has quality gates	
environments where release packages are peer reviewed and naily signed of for priesse by the Head of Technology. Automated backup and rollback procedures are in place also.  We have 80% automated test coverage across code and an automated pen test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code scanning.  16.20 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  16.21 Is a sufficient segregation of duties preventing system of developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  16.22 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  16.22 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  16.23 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  16.24 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  16.25 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system one shall be sufficient in the staff of the system as a Sas Sain business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SIAL e. PL events. Sometical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SIAL e. PL events. Sometical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SIAL e. PL events. Sometical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SIAL e. PL events. Sometical but us till follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  16.26 Explain the reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expected but still follows formal procedures where necessary. The hording procedures where necessary the Health's branch is merged into live an				
reviewed and finally signed off for release by the Head of Technology. Automated backup and rollback procedures are in place also.  We have 80% automated test coverage across code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarCubbe for code scanning.  16.20 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  16.21 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  16.22 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations/product manage deployment.  16.23 Place the review and approval procedures covering system operations from the file of the procedure solution is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  16.24 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  16.25 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations from the file of the procedure solution is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail of the procedure solution is only granted when necessary and is temporary and audited access to file systems with customer's permissions.  16.26 What procedures are in place for the search of the file of the procedure solution is only granted when necessary. The change must be reviewed and approved by the file of sixues.  16.27 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaved for the same CryCD quality gates to protect releases.  17. The change must be reviewed and approved by the dot freshoology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  16.28 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency or normal change by default, all actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring or also circulated with their system access is stopped?  16.29 What procedur				
head of Technology. Automated backup and rollback procedures are in place also.  We have 80% automated test coverage across code and an automated pen test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for cose scanning.  16.20 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  16.21 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  16.21 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  16.22 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  16.23 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations. It is a special set is used to see the secandar disease. The good news for us is our system as a Saas Isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  16.22 Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  16.24 Even the conduct a past change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The Hoffix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  16.25 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to ensure accountability.  16.26 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to ensure accountability.  17. Hoffix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  18.27 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to ensure accountability.  18.28 International terminal and surfacile, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency before a				
6.20 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  6.21 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  6.22 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system to live applications and data files?  6.23 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  6.24 Us an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  6.25 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to susure place in members of staff leaver to susure that their system a reason are last of circulated where applications. What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to susure that their system a suscess is of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring a reason for contraining the region of the suscess to product the suscess of the systems for identification and debug of issues.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to suscer that their system access is topoped?  6.24 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to suscer that their system access is topoped?  6.25 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to suscer that their system access is topoped?  6.26 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to suscer that their system access is topoped?  6.27 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to suscer that their system access is topoped?  6.28 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to suscer that their system access is topoped?  6.29 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to suscer that their system access is topoped?  6.20 What procedures are in place when members of staff leaver to suscer that their system access is topoped?  6.21 What procedures are in place when members of staf				
We have 80% automated test coverage across code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code scanning.  1. Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  1. Yes, segregation of Duties (SoD): Development, developers write code, security teams conduct reviews, and operations/product manage deployment.  2. Pilms surse that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  2. Pilms follow a "Hot Fit" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  3. Explain the review and approval procedures covering system of the procedure in place for operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  4. Explain the review and approval procedures covering system of the procedure in place for sesolated issues. The good news for us is our system as a SaaS isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintan SLA I.e. Pilms events. Sometimes It is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  3. Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  4. The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  4. If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  4. We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The Hoffix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  4. St. his happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and audiable, ensuring compliance and tracking of mergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable.				
code and an automated pentext for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code scanning.  8.20 is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  8.21 Very segregation of Duties (SoD): Development, described and approval procedures and operations/product manage deployment.  8.22 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  8.23 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  8.24 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  8.25 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  8.26 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  8.27 If ixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  8.28 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  8.29 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  8.20 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  8.21 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  8.22 Explain the review and approval procedures covering systems for identification and debug of issues.  8.23 Explain the review and approval procedures covering systems for identification and debug of issues.  8.24 Explain the review and approval procedures covering systems for identification and adebug of issues.  8.25 Expent temporary and aduitable systems for identification and debug of issues.  8.26 Explain the review and approval by the aduitable procedures to ensure accountability.  8.27 If the change must be reviewed and approved by head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows format procedures where necessary. The Horitx branch is mer			rollback procedures are in place also.	
code and an automated pen-test for each deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code scanning.  Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  Yes, segregation of Duties (SoD): Development, testing, and deployment roles are clearly separated to prevent conflicts of interest within the technical team. Developers write code, security teams conduct review, and operations/product manage deployment.  PIM ensures that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with fail audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  What the standard procedures to be made to live applications and data?  Is a papilications and data?  Is a papilication and data?  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  It is a papilication and data?  It is a papilication and data?  It is a papilication and data?  It is a papilication and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures where necessary. The Hortix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  It is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  It is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?				
deployed end point. We use SonarQube for code scanning.  16.20 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  16.21 Seplain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when enecessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  17. If these follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with ususomer's permissions.  16.21 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  16.22 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system of the live applications and data?  16.23 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system of the live applications and data?  16.24 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system of the live applications and data?  16.25 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system of the live applications and data?  16.26 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system of the live as a sassis in the systems of the systems of the systems of the systems of identification and maintain SLA I.e. P1 events. Sometimes It is necessary for us for any office for us to a frame temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  16.27 Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  17. The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  18. If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  18. What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the surfac			_	
6.20 is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  8			code and an automated pen-test for each	
6.20 Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  Wes, segregation of Duties (SoD): Development, by the segment of the provided from the service of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segment of the segme			deployed end point. We use SonarQube for	
developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  separated to prevent conflicts of interest within the technical team. Developers write code, security teams conduct reviews, and operations/product manage deployment.  PlM ensures that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  We do have "Hot Fix" procedure in place for escalated issues. The good news for us is our system as a Sas5 isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and IJT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Noted  Access  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Access to live specified but the same control to the specified but the specified but the same control that the specified but the specified but the specified but the specified bu			code scanning.	
developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  separated to prevent conflicts of interest within the technical team. Developers write code, security teams conduct reviews, and operations/product manage deployment.  PlM ensures that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  We do have "Hot Fix" procedure in place for escalated issues. The good news for us is our system as a Sas5 isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and IJT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Noted  Access  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Access to live specified but the same control to the specified but the specified but the same control that the specified but the specified but the specified but the specified bu				
developers from accessing and changing live applications and data files?  separated to prevent conflicts of interest within the technical team. Developers write code, security teams conduct reviews, and operations/product manage deployment.  PlM ensures that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  We do have "Hot Fix" procedure in place for escalated issues. The good news for us is our system as a Sas5 isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and IJT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Noted  Access  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Access to live specified but the same control to the specified but the specified but the same control that the specified but the specified but the specified but the specified bu	6.20	Is there sufficient segregation of duties preventing system	Yes, segregation of Duties (SoD): Development.	Noted
separated to prevent conflicts of interest within the technical team. Developers write code, security teams conduct reviews, and operations/product manage deployment.  PIM ensures that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system does not a continue to the procedure of the procedures to live applications and data?  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system does not allow a procedure in place for operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  Med of have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for overall such as a Saa's inst' business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SAI.e. P. 1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The Hotfix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Figure of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The Hotfix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted				
the technical team. Developers write code, security teams conduct reviews, and operations/product manage deployment.  PIM ensures that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  PI fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for system as a Saas Isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SIA i.e. PI events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The hot/fix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  Noted  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Abaccount is disabled or deleted immediately.				
security teams conduct reviews, and operations/product manage deployment.  PIM ensures that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  Red oh have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for systems as a Saa Sinst Nusherss critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  8.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted  Ab account is disabled or deleted immediately.		and data mee.		
operations/product manage deployment.  PIM ensures that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system! We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  Separation of the exploration of the explaint of the explaint in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SIA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to prot			-	
PIM ensures that elevated access to production is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system with customer's permissions.  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system when the procedure in place for escalated issues. The good news for us is our system as a SaaS isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. Pl events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency or interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable.  Be a distribution of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the secon				
is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  Noted systems as a SaaS isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure because the three the procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  All account is disabled or deleted immediately.			operations/product manage deployment.	
is only granted when necessary and is temporary, with a full audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  Explain the review and approval procedures covering system We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  We to have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for operations staff leave to live applications and data?  Noted seasons in the same of the good news for us to use of the good news for us to use of the good news for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure hoted where applicable immediately.				
temporary, with a full audit trail.  P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  6.21 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for escalated issues. The good news for us is our system as a Sas isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain StA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Ves, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable to ensure that their system access is stopped?  What procedures are in place when members of staff leave the ensure that their system access is stopped?  What procedures are in place when members of staff leave this is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure Ab account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  6.21 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  6.22 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system was a SaaS isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  6.21 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for escalated issues. The good news for us is our system as a SaaS isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Ab account is disabled or deleted immediately.			temporary, with a full audit trail.	
allows for a timebound access to live systems with customer's permissions.  6.21 Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for escalated issues. The good news for us is our system as a Saa5 isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Ab account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?   We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for escalated issues. The good news for us is our system as a SaaS isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLIA. P.1 events. Destinement it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.			P1 fixes follow a "Hot Fix" release protocol which	
Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?   We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for escalated issues. The good news for us is our system as a Saa5 isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.			allows for a timebound access to live systems	
Explain the review and approval procedures covering system operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  We do have a "Hot Fix" procedure in place for escalated Issues. The good news for us is our system as a SaaS in't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted				
operations staff when emergency changes need to be made to live applications and data?  system as a SaaS isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The Hotik branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted  AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.	6.21	Explain the review and approval procedures covering system	·	Noted
to live applications and data?  system as a SaaS isn't business critical but in order for us to achieve minimal digruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted				
order for us to achieve minimal disruption, and maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.		1 .	_	
maintain SLA i.e. P1 events. Sometimes it is necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The Hotfix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted		to live applications and data:		
necessary for us to grant temporary and audited access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.			•	
access to live systems for identification and debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Ves, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted				
debug of issues.  Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted				
Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted			access to live systems for identification and	
gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.			debug of issues.	
gates to protect releases.  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  The change must be reviewed and approved by Head of Technology or elegated authority in absence And is expedited but still follows from all to the procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted			Even then we still follow the same CI/CD quality	
Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountable to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted			gates to protect releases.	
Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountable duption.  What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted				
Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Head of Technology or delegated authority in absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountable duption.  What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted			The change must be reviewed and approved by	
absence This approval is expedited but still follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  See a serious procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted				
follows formal procedures to ensure accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
accountability.  If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
If elevated role based access is needed, it is granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.			accountability.	
granted through PIM and JIT token access.  We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
We then conduct a post change review and update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.			-	
update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  See a maddit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.			granted through PIM and JIT token access.	
update policies or procedures where necessary. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  See a maddit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  1. Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  1. Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  1. Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  1. What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  1. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  1. Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable where applicable are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  1. Ves, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable where applicable are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?			We then conduct a post change review and	
The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  1. Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  1. Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  1. Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  1. What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  1. The HotFix branch is merged into live and a retesting is conducted.  1. Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable where applicable are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  1. Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable where applicable are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?			update policies or procedures where necessary.	
testing is conducted.  6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.			The HotFix branch is merged into live and a re-	
6.22 Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency changes?  Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
changes?  normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
changes?  normal change by default. All actions are fully documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Noted	6.22	Is an audit trail always maintained of these emergency	Yes, this happens regardless of emergency or	Noted
documented and auditable, ensuring compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Compliance and tracking of emergency interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  Interventions. Learnings are also circulated where applicable  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
where applicable  6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped?  where applicable  This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
6.23 What procedures are in place when members of staff leave to ensure that their system access is stopped? This is fairly straight forward. The user's Azure AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.				
to ensure that their system access is stopped?  AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.		lua		
	6.23			Noted
Platform and service levels			AD account is disabled or deleted immediately.	
6.24 Which databases can be used (Hosted) or are used (SaaS)? All are SaaS albeit we do have a "Dedicated" Noted	6.24	Which databases can be used (Hosted) or are used (SaaS)?		Noted
SaaS" which simply means we segregate a			SaaS" which simply means we segregate a	
database per customer.			database per customer.	

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
6.25	What forms of user authentication are supported e.g. user	Username and password (set password policy	Noted
	names, passwords certificates, tokens etc.?	for a strong password), MFA. PIM/JIT.	
6.26	What is the proposed product/service availability	99% (in line with Azure).	Noted
	percentage?	,	
6.27	What percentage availability has been achieved over the	99.90%	Noted
	past 12 months?		
6.28	Is a service level agreement ("SLA") offered regarding:	Yes, we encompass full data backup and	Noted
	- Service availability?	recovery as well as platform availability.	
	- Data recovery?		
6.29	Is the service available 24x7 or are there downtime periods	The service is available 24x7 unless in periods of	Noted
	for maintenance?	communicated downtime for releases (typically	
		2am on each Sunday unless a Hot Fix which is	
		that evening with a 24 hour SLA).	
		Our product is typically used in "office based	
		hours" and isn't business critical. Our SLA to	
		customers is 09:00-17:30 excluding bank	
		holidays (Standard Operating Hours) for end	
		user and technical support. This is subject to	
		change once we extend to International clients.	
6.30	Is the customer made aware of maintenance periods in	Yes, 48 hours' notice, with exception to a Hot Fix	Noted
	advance?	which can be as short as 1 hours' notice	
		depending on severity. Releases always occur	
		after standard "Operating Hours".	
6.31	Does the application software:-	100% web based, Class A browser (Chrome,	Noted
	- Require any client software to be installed on the user's	Edge, Safari) required. We don't support	
	computer?	outdated browsers	
	- Work entirely within Internet Browser software on the		
	user's computer?		
6.32	Where the product/service relies upon downloading and	N/A	-
	running an executable program, has that program been		
	secured with a digital certificate to verify the source and		
	integrity of the program?		
latform s			
6.33	What security steps are taken to prevent and detect	We use Clam AV and Qualys in combination for	Noted
	intrusion attempts?	platform detection. We use rate limiting in	
		Azure for endpoint detection resulting in IP	
6.25	In Constant I handware at 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co. 1 Co	and/or user blocking.	NI - L - J
6.34	Is firewall hardware and software used to protect the live	Yes	Noted
C 25	systems from unauthorised access?	Overhan Amaria Constitut Constitut Constitut	Natad
6.35	Which monitoring software is used to create alerts when	Qualys, Azure Security Centre. Custom	Noted
6.20	intrusion attempts are suspected?	notifications are in place	Noted
6.36	Are designated staff responsible for receiving and urgently	Yes, dedicated IT support desk with escalation	Noted
6 27	responding to these alerts?  Have clear procedures been established for identifying and	paths. Yes, support paths and ticket management	Noted
6.37			Noted
	responding to security incidents?	varies on severity and this is communicated in our SLA's to our customers.	
6.38	Is all security sensitive software, such as operating systems	Yes, automatically in Azure.	Noted
0.30	and databases, kept up to date with the latest software	res, automatically in Azure.	NOTEU
	patches? Please indicate how regularly updates are applied.		
	pateries. Trease maleate now regularly updates are applied.		
			Noted
6 30	List the procedures and software tools in place to provent or	We use SonarOube and automated Den Tosting	
6.39	List the procedures and software tools in place to prevent or	We use SonarQube and automated Pen Testing	Noted
6.39	detect and eliminate interference from malicious code, such	tooling as a part of our CI/CD pipelines. We also	Noted
6.39		tooling as a part of our CI/CD pipelines. We also have Application Insights for performance	Noted
6.39	detect and eliminate interference from malicious code, such	tooling as a part of our CI/CD pipelines. We also have Application Insights for performance degradation and 80% unit test coverage for	Noted
6.39	detect and eliminate interference from malicious code, such	tooling as a part of our CI/CD pipelines. We also have Application Insights for performance degradation and 80% unit test coverage for performance testing. These quality gates are	Noted
6.39	detect and eliminate interference from malicious code, such	tooling as a part of our CI/CD pipelines. We also have Application Insights for performance degradation and 80% unit test coverage for	Noted

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
6.40	Is a system log maintained by the service provider that	Vendor Response Yes everything is logged.	Noted
5.40	details		
	- User access?	User Access: Azure Active Directory (Azure AD)	
	- User activity?	Sign-In Logs	
	- Error messages?	0.8.1 2080	
	- Security violations?	User Activity: In platform database tracking, plus	
	Security violations.	Azure Activity Logs to monitor all user actions,	
		such as resource CRUD.	
		such as resource enob.	
		For Error Messages we use Azure Diagnostics	
		Logs and Application Insight to capture system	
		and application error messages.	
		and application error messages.	
		For security we use Qualys and Azure Security	
		Center for automated alerts and logs.	
6.41	Is this log available to the customer?	Available upon request under our SLA. Details	Noted
0.11	is this log available to the customer.	are sanitised to a point where it proves not to	Trotted
		expose our business under our ISO controls.	
6.42	Have there been any successful unauthorised access	No.	Noted
	attempts been made during the last year?		
	If Yes:-		
	- What was the effect on the business and users?		
	- What steps are in place to prevent this happening again?		
6.43	Is penetration testing regularly carried out by (please	We use automated/AI pen-testing as a part of	Noted
	indicate frequency of tests):	each release but bi-annually we invite specialists	
	- Staff specialising in this field?	to test our application for vulnerabilities.	
	- External specialists?		
6.44	If penetration testing by a specialist is not performed	N/A	-
	regularly, please indicate the main procedures in place to		
	identify weaknesses?		
6.45	Are security procedures regularly reviewed? Please indicate	Yes bi-annually or in the event of a significant	Noted
	frequency of reviews.	change/incident.	
6.46	What security reporting is provided demonstrating	Azure Security Center Compliance Reporting	Noted
	compliance against certification(s) and policy(ies)?	provides detailed event based reports that meet	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	our set policies and standards.	
6.47	Are any security breaches communicated to customers?	Yes under our SLA but we've not yet had to	Noted
	·	communicate or exercise this process.	
Backups by	the service provider		
6.48	In relation to backups undertaken by the system provider	This is Azure managed:	Noted
	please explain:	How is data backed up?	
	- How is a customer's data backed up?	Using Azure Backup, automatic backups capture	
	- How often is this undertaken?	customer data, applications, and VMs.	
	- What is backed up?	Frequency	
	- What's the media used?	Backups are conducted daily, with incremental	
	- Where are backups stored?	backups after the first full backup.	
	- How many copies are there?	What is backed up?	
	- How long are they retained for?	Databases, virtual machines, logs and	
	- Who has access to them?	application data.	
	- Is the data encrypted?	Media	
		Azure's cloud storage.	
		Storage Location	
		Backups are Geo replicated across Europe data	
		centres.	
		Copies	
		Automatic to Azure. Multiple copies across	
		different Azure regions.	
		Retention	
		90 days.	
		• Access	
		Restricted to authorized personnel only.	
		• Encryption	
_		Yes AES-256 encryption.	
6.49	How frequently is a test-restore of backups undertaken?	Bi-Annually in line with our Disaster Recovery	Noted
		Policy/Plan.	
6.50	Can the provider restore from a backups that it has taken at	Yes with a peer review at our discretion.	Noted.
	a customer request?		(As currently client data
		We can restore any individual customer in	is held in separate
		insolation.	databases).
6.51	Does a customer have the ability to undertake their own	No.	Noted
	backups?		

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
6.52	If so, can a customer restore data a backup that they have	N/A	-
	taken?		
Platform re	1		
6.53	What contingency plans are in place to enable a quick recovery from:  - Database or application software corruption?  - Hardware failure or theft?  - Fire, flood and other disasters?  - Communication failures?	Database/Application Corruption  Azure Backup and point-in-time restore ensure quick data recovery. We use CI/CD also for quick rollback to a snapshot pending any release issues.	Noted
	- Communication failures:	Hardware Failure/Theft     N/A being Azure really as Geo-redundant     storage and failover mechanisms automatically     switch to healthy hardware in Azure if     necessary.	
		Disasters (Fire, Flood)  Azure based > Geo Replication to another data centre.	
6.54	How often are these plans tested?	Communication Failures Load balancing and auto-scale in place. IP/Rate limiting to prevent DDOS.  Bi-Annually.	Noted
6.55	How often are these plans reviewed and updated?	Bi-Annually or in the event of a significant change	Noted
6.56	What are your: - Recovery Point Object (RPO) standards? - Recovery Time Objective (RTO) minimum standards?	In line with Azure. Our RPO standard is typically less than 45 minutes, meaning data loss in the event of a failure would not exceed 45 minutes.	Noted. This is not a real-time transactional system.
		Our RTO minimum standard is under 2 hours meaning we aim to restore services and functionality within 2 hours following a disruption.	
		However it is noted that our SLA commits to 2-4 hours for a P1/P2. This is due to the non-business-critical nature of the software.	
6.57	If transaction records are dated and time stamped are the times used local to the user or based on where the server is located?	All transactions are Universal Time (UTC) to ensure consistency and avoidance of doubt.	Noted
6.58	What protection is in place to enable users to able to access their accounting and other data if the service provider should experience serious difficulties, cease trading or decide to stop providing the service?	There are currently no such arrangements for the continuation of a hosted service beyond a our existence, however data can be restored and provided to the client directly should we cease trading, and the chances of a collapse in the hosting service are minimal if at all existent.	Noted
6.59	If the system is hosted are there arrangements in place for this third party to continue providing a hosting service in the short term to allow time for customers to negotiate their own arrangements?  If so, how long does the arrangement allow?	As above	As 6.58
6.60	Are there any individual members of the vendor's staff whose leaving or illness would significantly reduce, or even stop, the service provider's ability to provide a full and reliable service to customers?	No	Noted
	hange management		
6.61	Describe your approach to upgrades including what option customers have not to take upgrades (if any)?	N/A - as a SaaS upgrades are automatic and mandated.	Noted
6.62	Are users able to test the application before new versions go into live use?	No - however we do have dev partners in place for new feature requests.	Noted
6.63	Are users given notice before application changes are applied to the live system?	Yes, 48 hours' notice, with exception to a Hot Fix which can be as short as 1 hours' notice depending on severity. Releases always occur after standard "Operating Hours".	Noted
6.64	Are changes delivered into the live environment "switched off" to enable users to test them before enabling them for their environment?	No - SaaS	Noted

Ref	Paguirament	Vandar Pasnansa	Paviower Comments
6.65	Requirement  Describe what testing and QA processes are undertaken	Vendor Response We have dedicated test resources who sign off	Reviewer Comments Noted
0.05	before upgrades and other changes are made live/available	test cases created against change. This includes	Hoteu
	to customers?	functional, security and accessibility testing. We	
	to customers.	also have a set of automated test scripts within	
		the platform with 80% unit test coverage. We	
		have QA testing with quality gates in SonarQube	
		also for code scanning.	
6.66	If a hosted system, explain the release management	See 6.19 and 6.20	As above
	procedures in place and the associated segregation of		
	duties?		
6.67	Are users informed when they next login of the application	No - this is communicated out via email as a	Noted
	changes that have gone into live use?	release note. Not in platform.	
6.68	Do customer staff have to take any action (e.g. regression	No - although we do have dev partners who test	Noted
	testing) when new editions, patches or upgrades are	new features for UX/Functional testing/feedback	
	released?		
	If so, please describe what they should ordinarily do.		
•	on options		
6.69	What is the minimum level of commitment must the	12 months minimum	Noted
	customer sign up to, e.g. 36 months?		
6.70	Where online payment is used, what type of security is used	Online payment isn't used currently. We	Noted
	to protect sensitive information?	currently take licence payments via PO and	
6 71	Where online subscription / normant is used in an inval-	Invoice manually.	Noted
6.71	Where online subscription / payment is used, is an invoice provided to the customer and, if so, in what format?	Invoice - annual in advance	Noted
6.72	When subscriptions need to be renewed, what advance	3 months.	Noted
0.72	notice is provided and what is the time limit for renewal?	o monuis.	INOLEU
6.73	Is there a procedure for late renewal and is there a time limit	Yes - in system platform notification for 30 days	Noted
0.75	after which subscriptions cannot be renewed?	with automatic subscription shut down/no	Noted
	arter which subscriptions cannot be renewed:	access	
6.74	How soon after creating or renewing a subscription (if	Immediately	Noted
· · ·	applicable) can the system / service be used?	ediate.y	
6.75	What notifications / confirmations are provided to the	All manual via account management teams.	Noted
	customer regarding subscriptions and payments?	Commercial terms via PO.	
6.76		N/A not accounting. However data can be	Noted
	other data if:	exported and provided to them upon ceasing	
	- They miss one or two payments?	subscription.	
	- They cease being customers?		
6.77	At the end of the contract term, how long does a customer	12 months. We encourage the customer take a	Noted
	have to obtain a copy of their data from you?	full export of data prior to exit.	
6.78	At the end of the contract term, how is a customer's data	All customer data stored in Azure is deleted	Noted
	destroyed (if appropriate) and will that destruction be	using cryptographic erasure, ensuring that the	
	certified?	data is no longer recoverable. This process is	
		compliant with industry standards like NIST SP	
		800-88 for secure data destruction.	
		Upon request, Azure can provide a certificate of	
		destruction to confirm that all data has been	
C 70	What is your processes remading dispersed of the	permanently deleted.	Natad
6.79	What is your processes regarding disposal of end-of-life and	Shredded and certified by an approved	Noted
	failed hardware devices that were used to operate your service?	contractor	
SaaS/Hoct	servicer ted Reporting		
6.80	Are reporting  Are reports produced from the same software as the	N/A for accounting, however it's the same	Noted
3.00	financial applications or is separate reporting software used?		
	interioral applications of is separate reporting software used:	secure API for specific customer integrations	
		which is managed with our customers directly.	
6.81	Does any application software (i.e. other than a web browser		Noted
	or PDF reader) need to be installed on the user's computer in		
	order to prepare or view the reports?		
6.82	What browser versions are support:	Class A browsers (Chrome, Edge, Safari)	Noted
	- On desktop/laptop (PC, Mac, Linux)?		
	- On Tablets?	Limited features on Tablet and Mobile	
	- On mobiles?		
6.83	Is access to the reporting facilities and data controlled by the	N/A	-
	same procedures as access to the main application?		
			1
6.84	If it's different, explain the user access control facilities	N/A	-
6.84	If it's different, explain the user access control facilities available to ensure information is only viewed by users with appropriate authority?	N/A	-

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
6.85	In what electronic formats are reports produced:-	HTML, CSV, JSON	Noted
	- PDF?		
	- XML?		
	- MS Excel spreadsheet?		
	- CSV file?		
	- As html for viewing in a web browser?		
	- Other, please specify?		
6.86	Are report documents stored on the web server or on the	N/A - same application in SaaS	Noted. There is no
	user's computer?		'separate' Outcome
	If stored on the web server, are they secure to ensure only		Report; it's within the
	users with appropriate authority can get access?		platform.
6.87	For documents viewable in a browser is any data stored on	N/A - same access controls as use	As 6.86
	the user's computer in a web browser cache or temporary		
	file? If Yes:		
	- Is there any protection against other users viewing the		
	report or data on which it is based?		
	- Is it clear on the reports when they were produced and the		
	date of the data on which they are based, so the user can tell		
	whether they are viewing out of date information?		
6.88	Are communications between the browser and the server	Yes TLS 1.2 minimum as per the application use.	Noted
	encrypted for any report related communications?		
6.89	If reports are produced dynamically each time the user views	Yes both	Noted. The Outcome
	them can historical reports be reproduced at any time?		Report is at the current
			point in time.
6.90	Can reports viewable in a browser be navigated dynamically	Yes	Noted
	by users? For example:		
	- Enabling drill down to more detailed information?		
	- Altering which columns and rows of data are displayed.		
	- Choosing time periods?		
	- Specifying selection criteria?		
6.91	Can report data be reliably copied and pasted direct from	Yes - but this isn't really a key feature of our	Noted
	browser viewable reports to an MS Excel spreadsheet	platform	
	retaining any table layout?		
6.92	If reports are incomplete, for instance due to a poor Internet	N/A	-
	connection, is sufficient information provided to enable the		
	user to notice that some of the report is missing?		

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
7.	ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS		
	Nata that the arbuses.		
	Note that the phrase:  • "Firm" has been used for the firm of Accountants having		
	individual users of the software		
	"Company" has been used for the Client of the accounting		
	firm.		
	• "Event" has been used to describe a single problem		
	incident that has occurred either to the Firm itself or to one		
	of its Clients for whom the Firm is providing root cause		
Global cor	analysis (RCA) services.  Ifiguration/setup		
7.01	Does the system provide for the setup and maintenance of	Yes, the system allows for setting up and	Confirmed
	the details of the Firm which has Users using the software?	maintaining Firm details, including user	
		management, role assignment, and access	
		control using Azure Active Directory (AAD). Firm-	
		specific data can be updated in real-time, with	
		audit logs for changes. This is performed by Super Admin or Admin within the platform.	
		Other Firm settings and reference data can be	
		edited also.	
7.02	Does the system provide a permissions matrix so that rights	No, this is pre-set by us at a role level in line with	Noted
	can be set at User and role/group level?	standard RCA practice. Behind the scenes there	
		is a permissions matrix for every end point and	
		CRUD action in the system but we've not yet had the need to expose this to Admin to pre-	
		configure and create their own roles	
7.03	Does this apply to:	Yes to all	Noted
	- Administration of access for the Firm's Users?		
	- Specific areas of functionality?		
	- All the Events of a specific Company?		
	- A specific Event for one Company? - Adding editing Evidence and/or Solutions to Events?		
	- Authorisations?		
	- Access to any linked systems?		
	- Other, please specify?		
7.04	Can users be "archived" if they are no longer active within	Yes, soft delete to retain data integrity.	Confirmed. Users can
	the Firm? If so:		be deactivated and re- activated if required.
	- Is a history of what they worked on retained by the system?		activated in required.
	- Can they be "unarchived" to re-enable their access?		
7.05	, , , , ,		Noted
	as, e.g. is their users 'name' displayed on-screen?	Admin of a Firm could be a Viewer (lesser role)	
	If so, can a user change profile [by logging in again] from a menu screen?	of a particular RCA.	
7.06	Is it possible to define delegated access?	Yes, but only at an RCA level not at a Firm level.	Noted
	If yes then please explain the levels of access provided.	An RCA owner can create a "team" and set the	
		roles within that team specific to that RCA	
		regardless of Firm role. The owner or Facilitator	
		of an RCA can gift capabilities for periods of	
7.07	Can multi-level authorisations be set? E.g. A users and their	time. Yes, this is mainly for User/Licence	Noted
7.07	manager must both approve an action?	management. There aren't any other	
		needs/requirements for Admin authorisation.	
7.08	Are the restrictions on more than one User working on the	Yes. We call this an RCA Facilitator, only one	Confirmed. There can
	same Event for a single Company) at the same time?	Facilitator at any one time. Should the Facilitator	· ·
		be unavailable then the RCA Owner can	editor" at any time.
7.09	Are there restrictions on more than one User working on	delegate authority to another user.  No	Confirmed, subject to
7.03	multiple Events (for different Companies) at the same time?		permissions
7.10	Can a User of the system have multiple windows open at the	No, they receive a warning to ensure they're	Noted
	same time on a single Event?	working on the latest snapshot of that Event.	
7.11	Does the system allow a User to use multiple devices to	Limited access on Mobile/Tablet. They can	Noted
	support mobile working, e.g. a workstation and/or a tablet?	perform all tasks with exception of the Chart Analysis. It works on Tablet as a view but "Chain	
		Building" functionality wasn't a requirement at	
		this time.	
•	•	•	

7.13 (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled? i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded? Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types, ? - If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an audit trail that includes details of	for any form submissions/changes whereby we would need to run validation and/or prompt the user with a confirmation message for performing the action. Within the Chart itself we have a real time save mechanism, which is fully logged, the user can undo actions at that point in time. The UX is that of MS Word with AutoSave capabilities  No, a warning message appears if there is a loss in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	
7.13 (1 t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	User's editing session?  If so:  - Can the frequency of these auto-saves be manually set?  - Can the User initiate a save manually?  - Can a User roll back to a previous saved version?  Can the system work in an "offline" mode, with transactions transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled?  i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded?  Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types,?  - If so, specify what is provided.	would need to run validation and/or prompt the user with a confirmation message for performing the action. Within the Chart itself we have a real time save mechanism, which is fully logged, the user can undo actions at that point in time. The UX is that of MS Word with AutoSave capabilities  No, a warning message appears if there is a loss in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	real-time.
7.13 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	If so:  - Can the frequency of these auto-saves be manually set?  - Can the User initiate a save manually?  - Can a User roll back to a previous saved version?  Can the system work in an "offline" mode, with transactions transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled?  i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded?  Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types,?  - If so, specify what is provided.	user with a confirmation message for performing the action. Within the Chart itself we have a real time save mechanism, which is fully logged, the user can undo actions at that point in time. The UX is that of MS Word with AutoSave capabilities  No, a warning message appears if there is a loss in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	Noted
7.13 (t i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	- Can the frequency of these auto-saves be manually set? - Can the User initiate a save manually? - Can a User roll back to a previous saved version?  Can the system work in an "offline" mode, with transactions transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled? i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded?  Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types, ? - If so, specify what is provided.	performing the action. Within the Chart itself we have a real time save mechanism, which is fully logged, the user can undo actions at that point in time. The UX is that of MS Word with AutoSave capabilities  No, a warning message appears if there is a loss in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	Noted
7.13 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	- Can the User initiate a save manually? - Can a User roll back to a previous saved version?  Can the system work in an "offline" mode, with transactions transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled? i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded?  Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types, ? - If so, specify what is provided.	have a real time save mechanism, which is fully logged, the user can undo actions at that point in time. The UX is that of MS Word with AutoSave capabilities  No, a warning message appears if there is a loss in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	Noted
7.13 (1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	Can the system work in an "offline" mode, with transactions transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled? i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded? Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types,? - If so, specify what is provided.	logged, the user can undo actions at that point in time. The UX is that of MS Word with AutoSave capabilities  No, a warning message appears if there is a loss in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	
7.13 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Can the system work in an "offline" mode, with transactions transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled? i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded? Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types,? - If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an audit trail that includes details of	in time. The UX is that of MS Word with AutoSave capabilities No, a warning message appears if there is a loss in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	
7.14 [ t t -	transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled? i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded? Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types, ? - If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an audit trail that includes details of	AutoSave capabilities  No, a warning message appears if there is a loss in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	
7.14 [ t t -	transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled? i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded? Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types, ? - If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an audit trail that includes details of	No, a warning message appears if there is a loss in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	
7.14 [ t t -	transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled? i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded? Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types, ? - If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an audit trail that includes details of	No, a warning message appears if there is a loss in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	
7.14 [ t t -	transferred to the service once Internet connectivity is available and enabled? i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded? Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types, ? - If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an audit trail that includes details of	in connection.  Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	
7.14 [ t t -	available and enabled? i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded? Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types, ? - If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an <b>audit trail</b> that includes details of	Yes, we use a Postcode look up facility for UK addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	Noted
7.14 [ t t -	i.e. can information be completed off-line and uploaded?  Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types,?  - If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an <b>audit trail</b> that includes details of	addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	Noted
7.14 [ t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	Does the system make use of global lists, e.g. Postcodes, risk types, ? - If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an <b>audit trail</b> that includes details of	addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	Noted
7.15 [	types, ? - If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an <b>audit trail</b> that includes details of	addresses. We also have manageable reference data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	Noted
7.15 [ a -	- If so, specify what is provided.  Does the system have an <b>audit trail</b> that includes details of	data and settings configurable for Admin. So far this includes:  Help text and labels Themes	
7.15 [	Does the system have an <b>audit trail</b> that includes details of	this includes: Help text and labels Themes	
- -	•	Help text and labels Themes	
- -	•	Themes	
- -	•	Themes	
- -	•	Themes	
- -	•		
- -	•	Locations	
- -	•	Full audit trail across all reference data.	Confirmed
-	all abanasa ta		Committee
-	all changes to:	Full audit trail across all Events, including	
	- Standing data (global lists)?	Evidence, tasks and RCA data changes	
	- Any libraries of causes, risks, etc?	Full audit trail of user inputs (generally chart	
-	- All manual entries/changes to inputs made by a User?	based data and tasks).	
7.16	Does the software directly integrate with on-line	Only basic links to	Noted
	software/services?	- Postcode lookup (with manual fall back)	
	If yes, please list the packages/services in the categories	- SendGrid (for email batch processing)	
	below and explain the method of integration (e.g. dedicated	- Twilio (for SMS/MFA, with fall back)	
		- Twillo (101 Sivis) with fall back)	
	connector, webservices, API, etc):		
	- Companies House (for valid Company lookup)?	No other finance/ERP systems integration	
-	- Finance/ERP systems?	however we do have an Open API for customers	
-	- Others, please specify?	to perform their custom integration to their	
		system but these are GET rather than POST/PUT.	
Portal:			
7.17	Does the system provide a portal to enable the exchange of	N/A I believe, The Firm's users can invite a	Noted
i	information between the Firm and a Company?	Company to perform an RCA.	
7.18 I	If yes, please clarify the level of security in relation to:	Authentication is by email and secure password.	Noted
	- How authentication is managed?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	- Whether MFA is supported?	Integrated SSO options is available.	
	• •	integrated 350 options is available.	
	- Is a secure [ https:] connection provided?	NATA is a subled by default	
	- Are login / inactivity timeouts enforced?	MFA is enabled by default.	
	- Are complex passwords required as well as the need for		
r	regular password changes?	HTTPS always	
		Yes timeout is enforced (30 mins)	
		Password complexity is enforced (12 mixed	
		characters alphanumeric). We don't enforce a	
		password change process but we do ask a user	
		to confirm access to their email address via	
		magic link to ensure users still have access to the	
		Firm.	
7.19	What end-user computing platforms are supported for	Windows, Mac, IOS, Android.	Noted
	access, e.g. Windows, Mac, iOS, Android?		
	And what Internet Browsers are supported?	Class A browsers, Microsoft, Safari, Chrome.	
	What Accessibility standards have been adhered to in the	The platform has been designed with WCAG 2.1	Noted
	•		INOLEU
C	design of the portal?	AA standards in mind. We have a dedicated UX	
		team aufait with the standards and we are	
		continuously working to enhance accessibility	
		features such as keyboard navigation, screen	
		reader support, colour contrast configuration,	
		and text scalability.	
		,	

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
7.21	Does the system provide for the setup and maintenance of	Yes. A Firm in this instance would be a	Noted.
,.21	the general details of the Company?	Consultancy who'd set up a Company within the	
	If so, does this include:	platform, there is a "Switch Company" function	professional services
	- Company name and company number		•
		within the platform and only activities can be	firm might operate with
	- Address	performed on one company at a time - basically	it's multiple clients.
	- Contact information	users authenticate with that company upon	
	- A flag denoting whether the Company is active or not?	switching. A Company is set up by the Firm	
		Admin and can't be set by anyone else. The	
		Company always remains there as an option	
		until the event they are disabled. We hold	
		limited information at the Company Level -	
		Name, Address, Contact information and Super	
		<u> </u>	
		Admin.	
7.22	Does the system provide validation of data input?	Yes.	Noted
	- If so please detail the validation types provided.		
		- Format validation - Ensures input matches	
		predefined formats (e.g., email, phone number).	
		- Required field validation - Ensures mandatory	
		fields are not left blank.	
		- Range validation - Ensures numerical inputs fall	
		within a specified range.	
		- Length validation - Restricts input to a	
		minimum or maximum number of characters.	
		We also force users to confirm non-sensitive	
		data is uploaded to the platform. We also have	
		file based validation for non-supported file types	
		and/or bad files.	
7.23	Does the system allow the User to enter dated activities	N/A really unless a consultant of the Firm is	Noted
	related to the Company, e.g. task and meeting information,	performing an RCA on behalf of a Company but	
	and track progress and completion of these items?	then this would be documented in the	
		Event/investigation detail itself as notes, tasks	
		and within the problem statement.	
7.24	Is any assigned "delegate" able to view/amend this	No. Only with necessary set permissions.	Noted
7.24	information?	Changes are audited.	Noteu
7.25	Can files be uploaded against the Company record?	Not a Company record but an Event against a	Confirmed
7.23			Commined
	- If yes, what format of files is supported, e.g. PDF?	company can accept evidence files such as PDF,	
		Office documents, wav or video files and images	
		of non-sensitive data.	
7.26	In relation to the Company portal (See above) can the	No - N/A really.	-
	Company log into the portal at any time and update their		
	details?		
	If so, please provide details of any update facility provided.		
	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
7.27	If yes, please explain how is their access restricted only to	N/A	_
,.21	their Events?		
	Control Control		
Dashboard			
7.28		Vos this is available in a congrete Admin	Noted. See 7.21 above.
1.26	Does the system provide dashboard functionality such that a	Yes, this is available in a separate Admin	ivoleu. see 7.21 above.
	list of current Companies together with the current status of	Dashboard. At present only WhatCausedThis has	
	any open Events (problems/incidents, see below) can be	this functionality. We've yet have a	
	presented to the User on a single screen, showing:	Firm/Consultancy require the capability to	
	- The Event name and date	manage multiple Companies, but it is possible	
	- The Event type, status and progress	within its architecture.	
	- Any deadlines for actions		
	- Other, please detail?	Events/RCAs, are mini projects and do carry time	
	- Other, please detail:		
		bound events such as Open tasks, themes and	
		an array of intelligence, we present relevant	
		insights to an individual RCA and also the	
		collection of RCAs to help drive solutions and	
		improvement	
		linbrovement	
7.29	Is a/the dashboard presented to the User on their "home	·	Confirmed
7.29	Is a/the dashboard presented to the User on their "home	Yes	Confirmed
	screen" when they login to the system?	Yes	
7.29	screen" when they login to the system?  Can the User navigate directly from a dashboard into:	·	Confirmed Confirmed
	screen" when they login to the system?  Can the User navigate directly from a dashboard into:  - A currently open Event?	Yes	
	screen" when they login to the system?  Can the User navigate directly from a dashboard into:	Yes	

	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
7.31	Are dashboards automatically personalised according to the	Yes, we use role based intelligence and insights	Noted
,	User's role and areas of responsibility?	which are filtered only to the Events the user	
		-	
	If so, how does this operate?	can see. This is Events they've been invited to	
		collaborate or assigned to as a user within a	
		group. Users can set filters against their	
		dashboards and toggle certain Events and query	
		the intelligence.	
		We are actively improving our dashboards with	
		our CauseBot.Al capability which will infer more	
		personalised results based on the user's	
		skill/preferences and interactions.	
7.32	Does the system have search functionality to enable the user		Noted
	to jump to a Company/Event?	users who are active licence holders across	
	If so, what search parameters are available?	multiple Companies within the same "Install".	
7.33	Does the dashboard show the progress of the key areas of an	Yes. With full filtering capabilities. Some key	Confirmed
	Event and whether Causes and Actions have been entered?	areas of focus landing well with our customers	
	If so, is it possible to filter the list of Companies/Events by	against an Event is:	
	Events of a particular status?		
		Health Score - an indicator on "Completeness" of	:
		an RCA, including a pre filtered action panel to	
		allow a user to drive the %age completeness of	
		their RCA in a gamified way	
		then her ma gammed way	
		Tasks - infers open actions and aged actions as a	
		RAG indicator by user.	
		indicator by doct.	
7.34	Is possible to set alerts/reminders/appointments from the	Yes, we force this via the Tasks engine as a	Confirmed
	dashboard, e.g. To regularly review a set of actions?	central place.	
7.35	If so, do these integrate with Microsoft Outlook?	Yes Office365 is one of the many API	Noted
	,	integrations we have.	
7.36	Can a User create a custom dashboard?	Not currently;	Noted
ents (Pr	roblems/Incidents)		
7.37	Does the system provide for the setup and maintenance of	Events are created within a Company, we have a	Confirmed
	, provide retailed and intelliging the		
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the	strict non-cross-company policy, users will	
		strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.	
7.38	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a	Confirmed
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include: - An overview / analysis of the problem?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial,	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means)	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal links between causes and the impact. This	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal links between causes and the impact. This	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal links between causes and the impact. This relationship cube helps us assess a "definition of	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal links between causes and the impact. This relationship cube helps us assess a "definition of done" and derive dominant/root causes against	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include:  - An overview / analysis of the problem?  - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other?  - The potential severity?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal links between causes and the impact. This relationship cube helps us assess a "definition of done" and derive dominant/root causes against certain impacts. This is a powerful analysis	
7.38	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include: - An overview / analysis of the problem? - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other? - The potential severity? - Other flags/statuses to use for analysis?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal links between causes and the impact. This relationship cube helps us assess a "definition of done" and derive dominant/root causes against certain impacts. This is a powerful analysis engine that can be cut in many ways to help drive solutions.	Confirmed
7.38	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include: - An overview / analysis of the problem? - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other? - The potential severity? - Other flags/statuses to use for analysis?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal links between causes and the impact. This relationship cube helps us assess a "definition of done" and derive dominant/root causes against certain impacts. This is a powerful analysis engine that can be cut in many ways to help drive solutions.  Yes, a team is created and roles assigned within	
	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include: - An overview / analysis of the problem? - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other? - The potential severity? - Other flags/statuses to use for analysis?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal links between causes and the impact. This relationship cube helps us assess a "definition of done" and derive dominant/root causes against certain impacts. This is a powerful analysis engine that can be cut in many ways to help drive solutions.  Yes, a team is created and roles assigned within the RCA. Interested parties (Groups) can also be	Confirmed
7.38	Events (meaning an RCA problem or incident) and have the ability to assign an Event or Events to a Company?  Does an Event include: - An overview / analysis of the problem? - A Type, e.g. Financial, compliance, IT-related, other? - The potential severity? - Other flags/statuses to use for analysis?	strict non-cross-company policy, users will switch context and authenticate against a company to perform tasks.  Yes, we capture  Problem Statement - key details about the Event, incident, risk or improvement they want to analyse. Based on this we capture dynamic elements relating to the Analysis such as severity, frequency, priority, and date bound events to support the analysis.  Impacts - against every category of Analysis we capture theme based impacts such as Financial, Technological, Cultural etc. Against each impact we weight this and ask the user whether they'd like to track the impact in their analysis  (Step 3 of creating an Event is building your team for collaboration on the Analysis)  Once the Event has been created the team are then tasked (via Health score and other means) to complete the RCA against the tracked impacts, they achieve this by building Causal links between causes and the impact. This relationship cube helps us assess a "definition of done" and derive dominant/root causes against certain impacts. This is a powerful analysis engine that can be cut in many ways to help drive solutions.  Yes, a team is created and roles assigned within	Confirmed

Def	Dominous at	Vandar Dannana	Daviewer Comments
7.40	Requirement  Does the system allow a potential "Impact" to be assigned to	Ves Impacts can be set as Actual or Potential	Reviewer Comments Confirmed
7.40			Confirmed
	an Event?	impacts and can be tracked through the Analysis	
7.41	If so, can multiple Impacts be assigned to a single Event?	Stage Yes, this is a question when creating an impact.	Confirmed
7.41	Does an Impact have a severity rating of some sort?	res, this is a question when creating an impact.	Confirmed
7.42	If so, detail what is provided.	Voc. we have the ability to derive Intelligence	Confirmed
7.42	Based on the type of Event, Impact, and severity rating does	Yes, we have the ability to derive Intelligence	Confirmed
	the system automatically produce some sort of "score" (or	across Themes, Solutions, Impacts and	
	rating/ranking) against an Event to indicate its significance in	underlying meta data as league tables and trend	
	relation to other Events?	intelligence. This can be shared across Groups	
		and more widely. We have pre-set league tables	
		and a reporting engine that can apply many	
		lenses across the data.	
7.43	If yes, is this shown:	Yes we have an Event dashboard and an	Confirmed
	- Against the Event?	aggregated Events dashboard.	
	- On the User's dashboard against the Event?		
Causes Evi	dence, and Solutions		
Causes; Evi	luence, and solutions		
7.44	Does the system allow the entry of Causes linked to Events	Yes via our visual causal analysis charting	Confirmed
7	(problems/incidents)?	capability	- Comminica
7.45	Can a Cause be linked to multiple Events?	Yes we have linking capability and a powerful	Confirmed
7.73	can a cause be mixed to multiple Events:	visual aid to allow for this	Commincu
7.46	Can an Event have multiple linked Causes?	Yes	Confirmed
7.46	Does the system suggest Causes from an in-built library?	This is an improvement area in the roadmap	Noted. This is not
7.47	Does the system suggest causes from all III-built libitaly!		recommending causes,
		an Al recommender.	but rather indicating
		an Arrecommender.	that similar events have
			happened elsewhere
			and "here are some of
			the causes that were
			relevant here"
7.48	If so, can the library be edited by Users of the Firm?	Not currently;	Noted
7.49	What information can be captured against a Cause?	Key pieces of information is Description, Type,	Confirmed
7.49	- Description?	Theme. We then link	Commied
	•	Theme. We then link	
	- Type (code/description)?	Fiddings	
	- Impact (rating/description)?	- Evidence	
	- Other, please detail what else is included?	- Tasks	
		- Notes	
		- Impacts	
		- Solutions	
		Against a cause box. The "Completeness" of a	
		Cause box creates a Health Score against each	
- · ·		cause.	
Evidence: 7.50	Does the system allow the entry of Evidence?	Yes	Confirmed
7.50	Does the system allow the entry of Evidence?	7.7	
7.51	If so, can Evidence be:	Yes all of the above, including file based	Confirmed
	- Text-based entry?	evidence. "Evidence Strength" is a good	
	- Linked to Causes?	indicator of relevance to the cause.	
	- Marked as "Not required"		
7.50	- Other, please specify?	Voc DDE Office heard dark A. P. 1981	Confirmed -
7.52	Can Evidence be supplemented by uploaded	Yes. PDF, Office based docs, Audio and Video,	Confirmed
	documents/files?	JPG. No extra charge	
	If so:		
	- What file format(s) are supported?		
6.1	- Is an extra charge made to store these documents?		
Solutions:	Department of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of th	V	Confine 1 = 1
7.53	Does the system allow the entry of potential Solutions	Yes	Confirmed. These could
	against a Cause?		be pushed to a
			compatible third-party
			system using the API.
			Over 700 data points
			are available.
7.54	If so, can Solutions be:	Yes all the above.	Confirmed
	- Text-based entry?		
	- Linked to Causes?	We also capture Effectiveness against the cause	
	- Marked with a rating, e.g. Good, partial, minimal?	which helps derive a league table of the "best	
	- Marked with a timescale, e.g. Long/medium/short-term?	solution" to solve impacts.	
	- Marked with a complexity, e.g. How difficult to achieve?		
	- Other, please specify?		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
7.55	Does the system suggest Solutions from an in-built library?	Not at present but this is on the roadmap as an	Noted
		improvement area with the use of AI	
7.56	If so, can the library be edited by Users of the Firm?	No	Noted
7.57	Can Solutions be supplemented by uploaded	Yes. PDF, Office based docs, Audio and Video,	Confirmed
	documents/files?	JPG. No extra charge	
	If so:		
	- What file format(s) are supported?		
	- Is an extra charge made to store these documents?		
7.58	Does the rating, timescale, and/or complexity of a Solution	Yes. This forms part of our Health Score	Noted
	form part of the Events' "Score", see 7.42 above?	algorithm	
Actions:			
7.59	Does the system allow the entry and maintenance of Actions?	Yes	Confirmed
7.60	Can an Action be linked to:	Yes all of the above.	Confirmed
	- An Event?		
	- A Cause?		
	- Evidence?		
	- A suggested Solution?		
7.61	- Other, please specify?	Vos all of the above	Confirmed
7.61	Can the following be entered against an action: - A description of the action required?	Yes all of the above.	Confirmed
	- One or more assigned users?		
	- A due date?		
	- A level of priority?		
	- A flag indicating completion of the action?		
	- A completion date?		
7.62	Can an action be linked to Outlook?	Yes Office365 is one of the many API integration	Noted. See also 7.35.
		options	
Chart / Ma	ар		
7.63	Does the system provide a graphical interface for the entry	Yes, through our visual causal charting capability	Confirmed.
	and maintenance of:		This is very easy to use
	- Events?		and navigate.
	- Related supplementary Cause-related information?		
	- And the links between the various elements of RCA relating		
	to an Event?		
7.64	If not, please explain how is this information is entered and	N/A	-
7.05	subsequently maintained? E.g. Text/code based	Voc wo offer all the listed sens biliting sens to	Confirmed
7.65	If graphical, are the following UI functions supported:	Yes we offer all the listed capabilities except for	commined
	- Pan around the chart/map structure? - Zoom in/out?	duplication which is conducted via "links", duplication isn't promoted. In addition	
	- Filter specific Events/Causes/etc?	duplication isn't promoted. In addition	
	- Collapse / open parts of the structure?	We have a unique Causal Storage area for	
	- Re-link (drag and drop) elements of the structure?	storing temporary or unused causes	
	- Prune/graft branches of the structure?	storing temporary or unused causes	
	- Duplicate parts of the structure?	We have a Lensing capability to highlight areas	
	- Other, please specify?	of the chart based on Coverage or relationships	
	,,, ,.	and other insights.	
7.66	Is it possible to drill into any element of the chart/map and	Yes	Confirmed
	view and edit the details of that item?		
7.67	Can the chart/map be saved to other formats	Yes exported as a PNG.	Confirmed
	e.g. Excel, CSV, txt, XML, PDF, JPEG, etc.?		
	- If so, please state the formats supported.		

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
7.68	Does the system provide a series of inbuilt analytics that	Yes we have:	Noted. These are the
	summarise numerically/graphically the themes, solutions		lenses associated with
	and effectiveness of the solutions?		the chart.
	If so, describe what is available.	certain themes, solutions, impacts, evidence and	•
		health of each cause or linked entity	API to link to other systems (e.g. PowerBI)
		Dominance - derived from impact relationships,	provides flexibility here.
		we find dominance is better set against a certain impact or category of impacts	
		, , , ,	
		Impacts by [Solutions, Impact, Theme] - the	
		ability to derive deeper intelligence against Causes or a chain of causes against certain	
		impacts plus the ability to assess (based on	
		effectiveness and impact<=> Cause relationship)	
		the most effective solutions to deliver most	
		effective returns on investment.	0 0 1
7.69	Does the system allow drill through from the summary analytics into the underlying Event?	Yes	Confirmed
7.70	Can the analytics output be saved directly to other formats	HTML outcome report and PDF	Confirmed
	e.g. Excel, CSV, txt, XML, PDF etc.?		
	- If so, please state the formats supported.		
Reports			
7.71	Does the system provide a series of inbuilt reports that	Yes we have a highly configurable HTML	Confirmed.
	cover:	"Outcome Report" which allows the user to	There are a series of
	- A summary list of Events for a Client?	detail granular information of the Event from	options to
	- The details of a specific Event, with associated causes,	- ,	include/exclude parts of the report as required.
	Solutions, etc - The graphical Chart/Map representing that Event etc?		The chart can also be
	- Outstanding actions on an Event/Cause/Solution/etc?		included.
	- Cause(s) and supporting Evidence on an Event?		
	- A summary of Causes by "Type" (Theme)?		
	- List of actions, filtered by User, Status, and/or date?		
	- A list of Event types with Causes and Solutions as feedback?		
	- A list of Events with associated "Score" (7.42)? - Other, describe the reports available.		
	Other, describe the reports available.		
7.72	Does the system provide the ability to export/print a	Yes	Confirmed. The
	summary of an Event, e.g. for provision to an insurer?		approach here depends
			on what data/charts are required.
			required.
7.73	Does the system allow drill through from a report into the	Yes	Confirmed
	underlying Event?		-
7.74	Are all reports adequately titled and dated? e.g. report name, Firm name, pages, numbers etc.	Yes	Noted, as defined
7.75	Do the reports provide totals where applicable?	Yes	Noted
7.76	Does the system allow the layout of reports to be	Yes - company branding was an essential	Confirmed branding
	customised:	element to a report. We don't have draft	(logo) is supported, but
	- Font? - Paragraph style?	reports as everything is "Live" based on RCA status	not font/style etc. This is held in the
	- Page format?	status	company settings.
	- Watermark, e.g. "Draft"?		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	- Company logo/graphic?		
	- Other, please specify		
7.77	If so, does the system allow graphics and/or the Firm's logos to be incorporated in the page formatting?	Yes	Confirmed
7.78	Can all reports be print previewed?	Yes	Confirmed; it's live.
			You could also print or
			export as a PDF from
			within the Browser if required.
7.79	Does the reporting functionality have the facility to scroll up and down when output to screen?	Yes	Confirmed
7.80	Can reports be output directly to other formats e.g. Excel,	PDF, we also promote Share capability to other	Noted. All are
	CSV, txt, XML, PDF etc. for any period of time required?		timestamped.
	- If so, please state the formats supported.		
7.81	Is it clear when a document or report has ended (e.g. totals	Yes	Confirmed; there is the
	or end markers)?		summary section at the end.
			ciiu.

Ref	Requirement	Vendor Response	Reviewer Comments
7.82	Is a report writer provided as part of the software?	We have a REST APi where a user can run every lens and interact with every Event right down to the Cause level to pull their own reports into their own BI suites	Noted
7.83	If so, please provide details of:  - The level of knowledge required to use it (beginner, user, expert).  - The level of customisation provided.	Outcome reports are easy to configure, as so are the lenses, in-platform help videos and content are available to users as refresher. We also provide end user training during onboarding.  API integration or BI integration is generally someone more technically advanced.	Noted
	_		