ICAEW

REPRESENTATION 112/21



TENTATIVE AGENDA DECISION: CASH RECEIVED VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSFER AS SETTLEMENT FOR A FINANCIAL ASSET (IFRS 9)

Issued 25 November 2021

ICAEW welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Tentative Agenda Decision: Cash Received via Electronic Transfer as Settlement for a Financial Asset (IFRS 9) published September 2021, a copy of which is available from this link.

This response of 25 November 2021 has been prepared by the ICAEW Financial Reporting Faculty. Recognised internationally as a leading authority on financial reporting, the faculty, through its Financial Reporting Committee, is responsible for formulating ICAEW policy on financial reporting issues and makes submissions to standard setters and other external bodies on behalf of ICAEW. The faculty provides an extensive range of services to its members including providing practical assistance with common financial reporting problems.

ICAEW is a world-leading professional body established under a Royal Charter to serve the public interest. In pursuit of its vision of a world of strong economies, ICAEW works with governments, regulators and businesses and it leads, connects, supports and regulates more than 157,800 chartered accountant members in over 147 countries. ICAEW members work in all types of private and public organisations, including public practice firms, and are trained to provide clarity and rigour and apply the highest professional, technical and ethical standards.

© ICAEW 2021

All rights reserved.

This document may be reproduced without specific permission, in whole or part, free of charge and in any format or medium, subject to the conditions that:

- it is appropriately attributed, replicated accurately and is not used in a misleading context;
- the source of the extract or document is acknowledged and the title and ICAEW reference number are quoted.

Where third-party copyright material has been identified application for permission must be made to the copyright holder. For more information, please contact: frf@icaew.com

ICAEW

Chartered Accountants' Hall Moorgate Place London EC2R 6EA UK T +44 (0)20 7920 8100 F +44 (0)20 7920 0547 icaew.com

KEY POINTS

- 1. ICAEW welcomes the opportunity to comment on the IFRS Interpretation Committee's tentative agenda decision regarding cash received via electronic transfer as a settlement for a financial asset (IFRS 9).
- 2. We agree with the logic applied by the IFRS Interpretations Committee to the specific fact pattern described in the tentative agenda decision and that, based on the fact pattern, the conclusions reached reflect the requirements of IFRS 9, paragraphs 3.2.3 and 3.1.1.
- 3. While we do not disagree with the way in which IFRS 9 Financial Instruments has been interpreted we are nevertheless concerned with the possible wider impact of the resulting conclusions. The specific fact pattern described covers one example of derecognition of a financial asset and recognition of cash; however, the conclusion that has been reached has the potential to affect many other fact patterns and, therefore, we believe the issue is far broader than the matter set out in the consultation description. Other types of transactions that might be affected if the rationale behind the tentative agenda decision were extended could include but are not limited to:
 - Cheque payments made for trade payables/received for trade receivables.
 - Credit card receipts that can be cancelled before they are settled.
 - Payments made for a financial liability by electronic transfer.
 - Intragroup cash transfers at or across a reporting period end.
- 4. We would also like to raise a point regarding the practical implications that could arise as a result of this decision. An entity's contractual right to obtain cash from a bank may require the entity to obtain a legal opinion for each electronic settlement system in each individual jurisdiction. This could be highly impractical, from a time and resource point of view, for all entities affected to obtain such opinions. It could also result in inconsistent treatment internationally based on potentially very nuanced differences in the various legal environments.
- 5. This agenda decision has the potential to change practice substantively. While we acknowledge that entities do generally have 'sufficient time' to implement agenda decisions issued by the IFRS Interpretations Committee, the scale of effort that may be involved and the pervasiveness of the issue considered has the potential to far exceed that which would normally apply to an agenda decision.
- 6. We believe that a final agenda decision from the IFRS Interpretations Committee is not the most appropriate way in which to deal with the submission received, and that more careful consideration needs to be given to a wide spectrum of payment transactions that are affected by this decision if the logic were to be followed through. In our view, this fact pattern cannot be considered in isolation as it is only one facet of a potentially much larger issue and we ask that the matter is subject to a full and open debate.
- 7. One option could be that this matter is deferred for now and instead considered as part of the wider IFRS 9 post-implementation review (IFRS 9 PIR). Whilst the IASB have not included derecognition in the list of topics to be considered as part of the IFRS 9 PIR, it could be discussed under 'other issues'. This would allow more detailed and further consideration over an appropriate time-scale to be made in proportion with the scale of the issue at hand. We believe this would be appropriate as any decision is likely to have a significant impact, as almost all companies account for cash transactions of this kind.

© ICAEW 2021 2